



# Falkirk Council Planning Application Advice on Flood Risk and Surface Water Drainage

Version	Date	Status	Authorised By
01	29/05/2020	Superseded	Leigh Martin
02	24/05/2022	Live	Leigh Martin

## 1. Introduction

This document details Falkirk Council's technical requirements for drainage and flooding that developers must comply with for new planning applications.

The objective is to ensure all developments have considered flood risk and for developers to provide evidence of measures implemented to reduce overall flood risk of the proposed site and any existing development.

The Council adopts a precautionary approach to flood risk from all sources and operates a presumption against development which would have a significant probability of being affected by flooding or would increase the probability of flooding.

The checklist located in Appendix A should be completed and submitted with the application to show compliance with the guidance set out within this document.

All drainage assessments and flood risk assessments must comply with the following guidance documents; this is not an exhaustive list. The references to guidance within this document have been made as up to date as possible, however applicants should ensure they review guidance from the relevant bodies.

Legislation/Policy	Link				
Scottish Planning Policy	https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-planning-policy/				
Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009	http://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2009/6/contents				
Falkirk Local Development Plan (LDP)	https://www.falkirk.gov.uk/services/planning-building/planning-policy/local-development-plan/				
SEPA's "Technical Flood Risk Guidance for Stakeholders"	https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/162602/ss-nfr-p-002-technical-flood-risk-guidance-for-stakeholders.pdf				
SEPA's "Climate Change Allowances for Flood Risk Assessment In Land Use Planning"	https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/594168/climate-change-guidance.pdf				
Flood Risk and Land Use Vulnerability Guidance	https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/143416/land-use-vulnerability-guidance.pdf				
Flood risk: planning advice	https://www.gov.scot/publications/flood-risk-planning-advice/				
Water Assessment and Drainage Assessment Guide, Sustainable Urban Drainage Scottish Working Party (SUDSWP)	https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/163472/water_assessment_a _drainage_assessment_guide.pdf				
Planning Advice Note 61: Sustainable urban drainage systems	https://www.gov.scot/publications/pan-61-sustainable-urban-drainage-systems/				

# 2. Flooding Guidance

#### 2.1 Background information

The proposed development should be assessed for risk from all sources of flooding, including fluvial, pluvial, tidal, groundwater, sewer inundation, or infrastructure failure such as a canal or flood protection structure. Historic flooding events and any existing flood alleviation measures should be detailed to help determine the flood risk of the proposed site. It is recommended that SEPA flood maps are used to provide a general understanding of the flood risk within the area. This will also help to determine if a deeper understanding of the flood risk is needed.

Details should be provided on surrounding watercourses including photographs of key features, such as bridges and culverts. This will help inform whether a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) is required. The trigger points for when an FRA is required are detailed in section 2.2.

Where applicable, a pre and post development topographic plan is required that will provide details of the proposed earthworks and topographic changes. Pre and post development flow paths should be provided to show the change in surface water flow behaviour across the site after construction. Surface water flows on site should be captured by on-site drainage or be directed away from properties. The amount of surface water flowing away from the site

Falkirk Council Planning Application Advice on Flood Risk and Surface Water Drainage

should not increase post-construction since this will increase flood-risk elsewhere, such as to neighbouring properties.

#### 2.2 Flood Risk Assessment

Falkirk Council will require an FRA as supplementary evidence to support a planning application if the site has one of the following;

- Proposed development is close enough to a watercourse/drainage ditch that it poses a realistic risk.
- Historic flooding has been recorded in the area.
- SEPA flood maps show the site is at risk of flooding from any source.

#### 2.3 Hydrology for Watercourse Modelling

Rainfall estimation for use in hydraulic modelling of watercourses should be computed using an up-to-date method such as FEH 2013 and an allowance for climate change should be added.

SEPA's Climate Change Allowances for Flood Risk Assessment in Land Use Planning<sup>1</sup> recommends certain climate change uplifts (CC) to be applied to peak flows.

#### Specifically:

- A peak river flow climate change uplift of 56% for river catchments greater than 50km<sup>2</sup>;
- A rainfall intensity uplift of 39% for catchments smaller than 30 km<sup>2</sup>;
- Or the greatest of the two uplifts for catchments between 30 and 50 km<sup>2</sup>.

Different design flood events should be considered depending on the type of development. For general developments a design event of 0.5% AEP+CC is required. However, for Most Vulnerable category properties the design event should be 0.1% AEP+CC. SEPA's Flood Risk and Land Use Vulnerability Guidance <sup>2</sup> should be consulted for categories of development, Most Vulnerable properties include, but are not limited to, emergency services, nurseries and care homes.

#### 2.4 Estuary/Tidal

Should it be deemed that an inherent tidal flood risk is present at the proposed development site, then this should be fully accounted for in the FRA. Modelling of tidal waters may be necessary to fully understand the risk to the development. Falkirk Council will ensure best use of data and share relevant information where possible.

#### 2.5 Hydraulic Modelling

A model of the surrounding rivers and tidal sources may be required in order to effectively assess the flood risk. Modelling results should be provided which indicate expected flood levels for different design events and the flood envelope surrounding the watercourse. If a model is required, justification must be given as to the type of model used (1D, 2D, linked 1D-2D), and must be calibrated and verified where possible. Models should be accompanied by appropriate sensitivity analysis. Flood extents should be provided for a range of flood events including the 1% AEP and 0.5% AEP event, with an allowance for climate change.

If there are hydraulic structures located upstream or downstream of the site, blockage scenarios should be included within the analysis. This will highlight any overland flows which may result due to blockages or indicate additional flood plain storage upstream of the structure which may not have previously been accounted for.

#### 2.6 Finished Floor Levels (FFL)

Properties should be protected from flooding through the setting of appropriate finished floor levels within the development. The FFL should be set at least 600mm above the floodwater level or the nearby watercourse/water body level. Properties should be protected from flooding from all sources including fluvial, tidal, groundwater, infrastructure failure (manmade structures such as reservoirs), sewer inundation and surface water flooding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SEPA, (2022). Climate Change Allowances for Flood Risk Assessment in Land Use Planning

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SEPA (2018), Flood Risk and Land Use Vulnerability Guidance

#### 2.7 Access and Egress

Access and egress to the site must be maintained during a design flood event. The results of hydraulic modelling and drainage network modelling (discussed below) should indicate whether the access and egress will be affected by flooding. The developer must demonstrate that access and egress will not be compromised due to a range of flood types.

Any mitigation measures should be identified and appropriately explained. The report should also outline the residual risk after mitigation measures have been introduced.

#### 2.8 Compensatory Storage

If the proposed development requires land raising within the functional floodplain, SEPA guidance on compensatory storage areas in the Technical Flood Risk Guidance document should be followed.

#### 2.9 Buffer Strips

A buffer strip is an area of permanent vegetation between development and a watercourse. The benefits of buffer strips can include habitat creation, green network enhancements, improved water quality, bank stabilisation, reduced soil erosion and improved flood risk management. Buffer strips of a minimum width on either side of the watercourse are required for all watercourses. Wide watercourses will require a larger buffer strip than narrow watercourses. The buffer strip will be measured from the top of the bank

The table below provides minimum widths for a buffer strip based on the width of the watercourse. However, the width of the buffer strip will be dependent on site conditions such as the nature and topography of the surrounding land and, therefore, may need to be wider than the relevant minimum width stated below. A buffer of at least 3m (both sides of the feature) may be required for ditches and culverted watercourses to allow for maintenance access.

Width of watercourse (measured between the top of banks)	Minimum width of buffer strip (either side of the watercourse)		
Less than 1m	6m		
1-5m	12m		
5-10m	15m		
10m+	20m		

## 3. Drainage Guidance

#### 3.1 Drainage Impact Assessment

A drainage impact assessment is required for all applications to demonstrate how surface water will be drained from the site. Calculations and drawings should be submitted to show how surface water will be routed from source to receiving watercourses, sewers or to the ground via infiltration, and highlight how any attenuation and treatment requirements will be met.

Only in the following circumstances is a Drainage Impact Assessment not required;

- developments with no known existing drainage/flooding problems, along with no known connection capacity problems to natural watercourses, land drains or surface water drainage systems.
- developments with a total proposed impermeable surface area of less than 1000 m², single residential
  properties and developments which are effectively a sub development of a larger development area for
  which a drainage impact assessment has already been submitted.

#### 3.2 Drainage Layout Drawing

A drainage layout drawing should be submitted showing the entire proposed network. The drawing should clearly show the location of all manholes within the development and their corresponding manhole number. SuDS features should be shown on this plan showing how they are connected to the drainage system to help inform the area draining to each feature. The location of discharge should also be shown on the layout drawing.

#### 3.3 Hydrology

An up-to-date method for estimating design rainfall should be used. FEH 2013 rainfall data is an industry recognised technique and is the most recent method available. Adequate rainfall estimation is necessary for reliable modelling of drainage networks and all components should be calculated prior to modelling all aspects of the drainage system.

It is recommended in SEPA's Climate Change Allowances for Flood Risk Assessment in Land Use Planning that an allowance of 39% is used to account for increases in rainfall intensity due to climate change.

#### 3.4 Drainage Network Modelling

MicroDrainage or similar modelling software should be used to model the proposed drainage network and any SuDS components. Calculations should be provided showing the water levels of critical durations across the proposed drainage network. Modelling results for the 0.5% AEP+CC, 1% AEP+CC and 3.33% AEP+CC flood events should all be provided. The results should show no flooding of the network during the 1% AEP+CC flood event. The drainage calculations should be checked for a range of 0.5% AEP+CC storm events to determine any flooding resulting from surcharging of the system. Any surcharging flooding should be dealt with appropriately, ensuring that flows are directed away from properties, whilst not leading to an increase in flood risk for neighbouring properties. Evidence should be provided for the 0.5% AEP+CC event showing that there will be no flooding within 300mm of the lowest garden ground level or 600mm of property FFLs.

The proposed discharge rate to an existing body of water from a development site must be in accordance with Falkirk Council requirements. For a 0.5% AEP+CC event Falkirk Council require a discharge rate of less than 3.2 l/s per hectare of proposed development area. In order to attain these flow rates surface water should be attenuated within the development boundary using SuDS. SuDS must not be placed within an area at risk of flooding, for example adjacent to a watercourse. An impermeable to permeable area ratio is required to help guide the DIA on a suitable discharge rate.

Example discharge rate calculation: If the proposed development has a 2.0 Ha developable area, then the maximum allowable discharge rate would be 6.4 l/s during a 0.5% AEP+CC event. Should the site be small, and the application of the 3.2 l/s/Ha condition leads to a discharge rate of less than 3 l/s then Falkirk Council would request that a Hydrobrake of minimum 75mm diameter is used which can pass ~3.0 l/s at 1.0m head. Falkirk Council will not accept flow control devices which are less than 75mm in diameter as they pose an increased blockage and maintenance risk.

The location of discharge to the water body should be shown on development drawings.

#### 3.5 Discharge to existing sewer

A confirmation letter from Scottish Water should be submitted showing confirmation that in principle the existing network has enough capacity for receiving surface water flows from the new development. This letter should detail the maximum allowable discharge rate to the sewer. Modelling calculations should show compliance with this allowable discharge rate. Scottish Water have created a customer portal at <a href="ScottishWater.co.uk/portal">ScottishWater.co.uk/portal</a> to assist with planning applications.

#### 3.6 Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS)

SuDS should be used wherever possible in order to retain, attenuate and treat discharge from site. SuDS features should be designed in accordance with the SuDS Manual: CIRIA 7533. Drawings should be provided showing layout and dimensions of all proposed SuDS. Falkirk Council require basin slopes to be no steeper than 1:4, security screen on inlets to basins and debris screens on outlets from basins.

#### 3.7 Treatment

SuDS should be incorporated into a development to ensure surface water is being treated adequately before discharging to a watercourse. Developers should use a simple index approach or similar in order to provide evidence that the surface water arising from the development will be adequately treated before leaving site. For adoptable road drainage water treatment requirements please contact Roads Development Control on 01324 50 60 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CIRIA, (2015). The SuDS Manual.

#### 3.8 Adoption and Maintenance

Provide an adoption plan and a letter of provisional agreement from the relevant parties.

A maintenance schedule/statement should be provided for all components of the drainage network. The statement should also include confirmation of responsible parties that will carry out the maintenance for each component.

#### 3.9 Soakaway

If soakaways are proposed for the development the following guidance must be followed;

- BRE Digest 365: Percolation tests must be carried out and results forwarded for approval (tests must be carried out as close to the proposed location of the soakaway as possible).
- Must not be located within 5m of building foundations.
- Must take account of seasonal variations in the groundwater table.
- Must have appropriate treatment facilities.
- Designed with facilities for inspection and maintenance.
- Designed to the requirements of BRE Digest 365 or CIRIA C753.
- Follow the surcharge/flooding considerations as other SuDS features i.e. not surcharging during a 1% AEP+CC event, not flooding within 300mm of the lowest garden ground level or 600mm of property FFL during a 0.5% AEP+CC event, with any overland flow routes shown clearly on a plan.

#### 3.10 Construction phase

If the development requires a large volume of earthworks, a description of how surface water will be managed during the construction phase should be provided. This is to ensure earthworks during the construction phase will not increase flood risk to neighbouring properties.

### 4. Checklist

The checklist located in Appendix A should be completed and submitted to Falkirk Council along with relevant documents to support the application. If the checklist is not submitted along with the planning application, or on completion of the checklist it is clear insufficient evidence has been provided, the application will not be reviewed.

## 5. Contacts

For all planning application queries please contact:

dc@falkirk.gov.uk

For all enquiries about this guidance note please contact:

Flooding.Planning@falkirk.gov.uk

# **Appendix A - Checklist**

\* Please continue reasoning on separate sheet if required, noting the section reference number.

Apı	olication Ref No	Flooding	Provided? (Yes/No)	N/A	Submission Section Reference	Reason if No or N/A*
	Full	Planning Application/Planning in Principle				
1	Location Plan					
2	Study area description (location, previous land use)					
3	A statement outlining current flood legislation	how, in the author(s) opinion, the development proposal complies with n and policy.				
4	Plan of site showing p	re and post development ordnance datum levels				
5	Pre-Development and	post-development overland flow paths for site and surrounding land.				
6	Good use of photogra	phs illustrating important features such as culverts etc.				
7	Catchment description	ו				
8	Hydrology should be derived using FEH 13 rainfall catchment description. Comparison of relevant methods such as ReFH2, FEH Rainfall Runoff and statistical where appropriate.					
9	An allowance for climate change should be included. This is 39% for surface water, 56% for peak river flows and 0.86m uplift on peak sea levels to follow SEPA guidance.					
10	Information on historic flood events					
11	Details of any existing flood alleviation measures including the level of protection and condition					
12	ldentification on the ownership of any water related structures and assessment of their condition					
13	Information on consul	tations undertaken with others				
14	Assessment of all releccoastal, groundwater,	evant sources of flooding and level of risk. Sources include fluvial, pluvial, infrastructure.				
15	Assessment of increased flood risk to surrounding sites.					
16		ng approach including schematisation, justification on chosen model and nked 1D-2D model has been used), appropriate consideration of upstream adaries).				
17	-	3.33%, 1%, 0.5%, 0.5%+CC AEP flood events. Flood elevations at key +CC for Most Vulnerable category properties, as defined by SEPA).				
18	Details of appropriate	model calibration and verification should be provided where possible.				
19	All models should be	accompanied by appropriate sensitivity analysis.				
20	-	hould be provided if the flood site levels are influenced by downstream ould show impact on water levels should these features become blocked.				
21	Flood water levels from including the 0.5% AE	om all sources should not encroach within 600mm of FFL's up to and P+CC event.				
22	An assessment of en AEP+CC event.	nergency access to and from the proposal up to and including the 0.5%				
23	Description of how all	flood risks have been identified and appropriately mitigated or managed.				
24	A summary of residuation for further study/risk re	I risk after any proposed flood mitigation measures and recommendations eduction.				

Apı	olication Ref No	Drainage	Provided? (Yes/No)	N/A	Submission Section Reference	Reason if No or N/A
		Planning in Principle				
1	Indicative drawings sh	nowing planned development layout including proposed developed areas.				
2	Areas of impermeable quantified and shown	e and permeable surfaces contributing to surface water runoff should be on plan drawing.				
3	Indicative layout draw	ing of the drainage design including all SuDS features.				
4	Drawings showing d watercourses.	evelopment in relation to natural surface water and existing receiving				
5	Details on the restriction of post development surface water flow.					
6		tenuation and treatment. Attenuation should be provided to the 1% AEP+CC nent should follow the simple index approach or similar.				
		Full Planning Application				
7	Drawings showing pla	nned development layout including proposed developed areas.				
8	Areas of impermeable quantified and shown	e and permeable surfaces contributing to surface water runoff should be on plan drawing.				
9	Layout drawing of the water and wastewater	drainage design including all SuDS features and manhole numbers (surface r)				
10	Drawings showing d watercourses	evelopment in relation to natural surface water and existing receiving				
11	Drainage strategy out	lining the SuDS to be used in the development				
12	<ul> <li>If there will be a continuous water is required</li> <li>If discharging to developable area</li> </ul>	on of post development surface water flow. connection to a public sewer, a letter of agreement in principle from Scottish, stating maximum allowable discharge rate to sewer.  a body of water, evidence that a maximum discharge rate of 3.2l/s/Ha (of a) has been met for the 0.5% AEP+CC event*.  num 75mmØ flow control (~3l/s)				
13		t of discharge, the outfall structure and how it is intended to connect/link into ater drainage network and/or watercourses.				
14	Details of proposed tr	eatment of surface water using simple index approach or similar.				
15	Storage, attenuation,	and discharge calculations are required for all SuDS features				
16	FEH 2013 catchment	descriptors preferred, justification required for alternative method.				
17	An allowance for clima	ate change should be included, this is 39% for surface water.				
18		e provided of the proposed drainage network for the critical rainfall event for AEP events, plus an allowance for climate change. MicroDrainage or similar				
19	Calculations showing	no flooding for the 1% AEP+CC event				
20	-	e 0.5% AEP+CC event, overland flow paths of this event must be provided. ng must not encroach within 600mm of any FFL.				
21	Confirmation that eme	ergency access to and from the site will be maintained, even during the 0.5%				
22		vesting and maintenance plan for the SuDS components in the development countable body responsible for vesting & maintenance.				
23	Description of measur	res to manage surface water runoff in construction phase				
24		are proposed has subsoil porosity tests at location of infiltration devices in r similar been undertaken				
25		vailable in the settlement, then evidence of compliance with SEPA's Policy nce on the Provision of Wastewater Drainage in Settlements				

## **Glossary**

AEP Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) refers to the probability of a flood event occurring in

any one year. The probability is expressed as a percentage. For example, a large flood which may be calculated to have a 1% chance to occur in any one year, is described as having a

1% AEP.

Attenuation Reduction of peak flow by spreading it over a longer period of time.

BRE Building Research Establishment

CCTV Closed Circuit Television

CIRIA Construction Industry Research and Information Association

DIA Drainage Impact Assessment

FFL Finished Floor Level

Flood Estimation Handbook The FEH offers guidance on rainfall and river flood frequency estimation in the UK and also

provides methods for assessing the rarity of notable rainfalls or floods.

Flood Risk Management (Scotland) Act 2009

A more sustainable and modern approach to flood risk management, which supersedes the Flood Prevention (Scotland) Act 1961 and the Flood Prevention and Land Drainage

(Scotland) Act 1997.

FRA Flood Risk Assessment

Freeboard A 'safety margin' to account for residual uncertainties in water level prediction and/or

structural performance. It is the difference between the height of a flood defence or floor level

and the design flood level.

Functional Floodplain The functional floodplain is defined as land where there is a 0.5% or greater annual probability

of flooding in any year.

Greenfield Runoff

This is the surface water runoff regime from a site before development, or the existing site

conditions for a brownfield redeveloped site through the attenuation of runoff by way of SuDS.

Groundwater Water that has percolated into the ground; it includes water in both the unsaturated zone and

the water table.

Ha Hectares

Return Period The theoretical return period is the inverse of the probability that the event will be exceeded

in any one year. For example, a 10-year flood has a 1 / 10 = 0.1 or 10% chance of being exceeded in any one year and a 50-year flood has a 0.02 or 2% chance of being exceeded

in any one year.

SEPA Scottish Environment Protection Agency

SEPA's Indicative Flood Map Details areas of land in Scotland estimated to be at risk of flooding from either rivers or the

sea (or both), with an Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) of 0.5% (200 year) or greater.

Soakaway A subsurface structure into which surface water is conveyed to allow infiltration into the

ground.

SPP Scottish Planning Policy

SuDS Sustainable Drainage Systems or Sustainable urban Drainage Systems. A sequence of

management practices and control structures designed to drain surface water in a more

sustainable fashion than some conventional techniques.

Trash Screen A screen used at inlets to prevent the passage of material liable to block the pipe.

Watercourse All means of conveying water except a water main or sewer.

The Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland)

A set of regulations that control activities which may affect Scotland's water environment.

(Controlled Activities) (Scotland)
Regulations 2011

Water Environment and Water

Services (Scotland) Act 2003

Gave powers to introduce regulatory controls over water activities in order to protect, improve and promote the sustainable use of Scotland's water environment.