## **FALKIRK COUNCIL**

Subject: REVIEW OF CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Meeting: AUDIT COMMITTEE
Date: 23 September 2013

Author: DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 A review of the Council's Corporate Risk Register (CRR) has been undertaken as part of an agreed periodic update to CRMG and the Audit Committee.

### 2. FINDINGS

- 2.1 The review has taken account of the findings of a review of the risks and controls identified through an evaluation of 2013-2016 Service Plans.
- 2.2 There are a number of additions to the CRR, and these are marked up on the attachment. Whilst these are more detailed, the CRR is still considered a high level document.
- 2.3 The Top 10 risks are still considered to be the same as those reported in May 2013, as outlined below (in no particular order of priority):-
  - Protecting vulnerable people/ groups: including Child Protection & Elderly Care;
  - Welfare reform: financial loss to the Council due to rent arrears, and also increased financial hardship to citizens leads to higher demands for welfare services;
  - Managing financial pressures: inc. reduced government funding & capital investment;
  - Prioritisation of resources particularly demand on support functions to drive change;
  - Managing transformational change: including colleague engagement and development;
  - Equalities: Equal pay claims and threats of industrial action;
  - Regulatory change especially elderly care and housing;
  - Opportunities for efficiency through greater partnership working;
  - Tax Incremental Funding (TIF) Pilot: realising the opportunity for major investments;
  - Security, availability and integrity of data.

### 3. NEXT STEPS

- 3.1 A review is being undertaken with each Service of their Service Risk Register (SRR), and this will inform future updates to the CRR.
- 3.2 A CRR which consolidates all SRR's is anticipated to be provided to CRMG in Oct 2013 (subject to availability and support of all Services for reviews), and then discussion and challenge will take place around the scoring & prioritising of risks. The outcome should be a prioritised Corporate Risk Register, and this will be presented to the Audit Committee in early 2014.
- 3.3 Service Risk Reports will be developed following SRR reviews, and these will form part of risk reporting to CRMG, the Audit Committee, CMT and the Executive as appropriate.

4.	RECOMMENDATIONS		
4.1	Members are invited to:		
4.1.1	Note the findings of this review;		
4.1.2	Provide feedback on the CRR (Appendix 1);		
Director of Development			

Date: 10 September 2013

# Appendix 1: CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - AUG 2013

Note: Items Highlighted Are Additions Made in Aug 2013

Risk	Potential outcomes	Potential consequences	Controls	Review mechanisms
1. Failures in proper financial management	<ul> <li>Breach of statutory duty to achieve best value;</li> </ul>	Failure to achieve and demonstrate best value;	<ul> <li>CMT commitment to good financial governance and achievement of best value;</li> </ul>	Member scrutiny via established Committee structure, including Audit Cttee;
	<ul> <li>Material mis-statement of financial position;</li> </ul>	Prosecution or other legal remedy.	Clear and up to date Standing     Orders and Financial     Regulations;	Service management meetings;
	<ul> <li>Inability to fulfil financial and policy obligations;</li> </ul>	Failure to deliver core services to stakeholders	SOA, Corporate and Service Plans (including Pl's);	• SPSO;
	Failure to collect all income due from debtors;	• Financial Leakage;	<ul> <li>Debt Recovery Policy;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Debtors Monitoring &amp; Pl's;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to pay invoices timeously;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Detrimental impact on partners</li> <li>risk to future supply chain;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reliable financial management IT systems.with appropriate automated controls</li> <li>business continuity plans;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Business continuity plan testing;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Flawed borrowing or investment related decision making;</li> </ul>	Compromised medium to long term financial position.	<ul> <li>Investment Policy &amp; CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Investment Committee;</li> </ul>
	Fraud / irregularity;	• Financial loss;	Anti-fraud arrangements;	<ul> <li>Working Groups (inc Capital, Budgeting &amp; Welfare Reform);</li> </ul>
	Failure to plan for economic climate;	<ul> <li>Compromise the financial position of partners;</li> </ul>	Community Planning Priorities	<ul> <li>FPP Monitoring inc Peer Reviews;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to achieve SOA, Corporate and Service plan objectives;</li> </ul>	External intervention / control	<ul> <li>Internal and external scrutiny;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Internal and External audit scrutiny and other inspections;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Qualification of Annual Accounts or criticism from appointed external auditor;</li> </ul>	Damage to reputation;	Complaints procedure;	<ul> <li>Financial reporting &amp; monitoring;</li> </ul>
	Failure to manage the impacts of welfare reform;	<ul> <li>Greater demand for other Council services.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poverty Strategy;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Exposure to Serious Organised Crime.</li> </ul>			

2. Failures in
proper information
management
(availability,
integrity and
security).

- Information / data loss;
- Information / data corruption;
- Compromised decision making;
- Breach of statutory duty
   e.g. DPA & Public Records
- Inappropriate use of Council ICT equipment;
- Breach of confidentiality, compromising staff, public, or other stakeholders:
- Flawed decision-making or reporting;
- Failure to achieve SOA, Corporate and Service plan objectives;
- Inefficient / sub-optimal systems;
- Systems breakdown / failure.
- Failure in ICT contract management;
- Exposure to Serious Organised Crime.

Breach of Privacy

(including use of CCTV

monitoring equipment)

- Prosecution or other legal remedy;
- Financial loss resulting from reliance on flawed or incomplete data;
- · Failure to deliver core services;
- Failure to provide evidence for inspections, regulators etc;
- Damage to reputation;
- Risk of harm to data subjects e.g. offenders;
- Detrimental impact on partner organisations;
- Failure to deliver best value services;
- Costs of reinstatement/ additional costs of working;
- Dependence on suppliers and risks of their failure;

- Data loss reporting (internal & to SPSO;
- Compliance with relevant legislation e.g. Data Protection;
- Clear ICT strategy and supporting policy & procedures;
- Clear records management policy & procedures;
- Acceptable Use of the internet and email policy;
- Data sharing protocols with partners inc Info Audit & logging of information transfers (electronic or otherwise);
- CMT commitment to good information governance;
- SOA, Corporate and Service Plans (including Pl's);
- Investment alignment of ICT, Service & Asset Strategies;
- Business Continuity;
- Contract Monitoring
   Framework for critical systems:
- Systems & buildings security inc access controls, encryption & virus checking;
- Property damage & business interruption insurance, including increased cost of working;
- Privacy Impact Assessments;
- Information Asset Register.

- SIC (info. Commissioner);
- Corporate working groups including Improvement Governance Board, FOI, CRMG & Records Management
- Service Management meetings;
- Public Records Statement of Assurance;
- ICT Controls & Monitoring e.g. internet use & email scanning;
- Internal and External audit scrutiny and other inspections;
- CMT scrutiny;
- Member scrutiny via established committee structure:
- Tendering & Best practice monitoring;
- Business Continuity Testing;
- Contract Monitoring Officers;
- Systems Administrators;
- RIPSA Working Group (Regulation of Investigatory Powers).

3. Failures in human resource	Insufficient staff;	Failure to deliver core services;	<ul> <li>Appropriate management and accountability structures;</li> </ul>	Service Management Meetings;
management	<ul> <li>Recruitment and retention problems;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Damage to reputation – unable to recruit /retain skilled workforce;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adequate and appropriate staff establishments;</li> </ul>	Service Based Forums;
	Absence;	<ul> <li>Cost of absences (direct &amp; indirect e.g. sick pay &amp; morale);</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>* Occupational Health,</li> <li>Counselling &amp; Physio services.</li> <li>* Absence Policy, Manager</li> <li>Training &amp; HR Support;</li> </ul>	CMT scrutiny;
	Non performing staff,:	<ul> <li>Cost of identifying and rectifying human errors;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear and robust HR policies and procedures, including job evaluation scheme;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Internal and External audit scrutiny and other inspections;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Not properly qualified;</li> </ul>	Cost of covering vacant posts;	Up to date Member / Officer job descriptions in place;	• External accreditation eg liP;
	<ul> <li>Not properly supported;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced staff morale;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>APDS &amp; Employee Development Bulletin;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff Satisfaction Surveys;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Not properly trained;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced Performance / Failure to achieve full potential;</li> </ul>	Complaints & Quality Monitoring Processes;	<ul> <li>Corporate Working groups</li> <li>e.g. Safety @ Work &amp; CRMG;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Not properly paid;</li> </ul>	Industrial Relations Disputes;	Business continuity plans for key ICT systems & processes;	<ul> <li>Benchmarking of terms &amp; conditions of service;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Unsafe/ unhealthy working environment;</li> </ul>	Absence and illness	Premises Manager handbook and associated guidance;	<ul> <li>Statements of Assurance (Premises &amp; Fleet);</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Inequitable treatment of staff;</li> </ul>	Tribunals & Civil Claims;	<ul> <li>Equality Impacts Assessment included in committee reports;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff Satisfaction Surveys;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to achieve SOA, Corporate and Service plan objectives.</li> </ul>	• Failure to demonstrate best value;	Timely and accurate management information;	<ul> <li>Member scrutiny via established committee structure including JCC;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to carry out suitable disclosure checks;</li> <li>Challenge of severance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prosecution or other legal remedy.</li> <li>Attract too many / too few people</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Appropriate Disclosure</li><li>Checks &amp; Manager Guidance;</li><li>Consultation &amp; documentation</li></ul>	<ul><li>Safer Recruitment Working Group;</li><li>Monitoring severance</li></ul>
	<ul><li>decisions;</li><li>Failure to manage &amp; control claims spend;</li></ul>	for retirement; or union disputes; Detrimental impact on cost & availability of insurance;	of process & decision rationale; Claims reports to Services. and review of investigations;	(including savings achieved); • Audits & Lessons Learnt;
	<ul> <li>Exposure to Serious Organised Crime.</li> </ul>			

4. Failure to			
properly manage			
assets.			

Deterioration assets resulting in:

- harm to others:
- increased repairs and maintenance obligations.
- loss of value if realised;

#### not fit for purpose;

- not making best use of fixed assets (efficiency)
- Environmental impact;
- Failure to achieve SOA, Corporate and Service plan objectives.
- Acquisition lack of capital to support needs;
- Under utilisation of assets;
- Failure to comply with statutory obligations;
- Failures in procurement governance;
- Supplier Failure;
- Inaccurate Asset Valuations;
- Increased property damage claims;
- Failure to maximise recoveries from contractors
- Premises Access & Evacuation Restrictions (particularly in high rises);

- Failure to deliver core services
- Prosecution or other legal remedies.
- Financial Loss;
- Unnecessary revenue and capital expenditure
- Assets not fit for purpose (poor quality service / delivery methods)
- Increased running costs;
- Failure to demonstrate / achieve good environmental governance;
- Failure to demonstrate best value;
- Shortfall in capital receipts leading to capital plan slippage;
- Service Inefficiency;
- Damage to reputation;
- Delays;
- Service interruption;
- Flawed Financial Information & Decisions inc insurance;
- Detrimental impact on costs & availability of insurance;
- Equalities issues Disability Discrimination Act claims;

- Finance Capital Section;
- Premises managers' handbooks;
- Contractual inspection, repair and maintenance arrangements
- Maintenance schedules, inc Roads Winter Plan;
- Customer Service & Complaints Monitoring;
- Efficiency Reviews e.g. utilisation; carbon/ energy management & occupancy;
- Sustainability Strategy and environmental mgt targets;
- SOA, Corporate and Service Plans (including Pl's);
- Asset Management / Capital Programme alignment;
- Utilisation Reviews;
- Statutory Inspections eg. PAT, engineering; SHQS & Gas;
- Procurement Strategy & Guidance;
- Contracts inc KPI's and BCP
- Periodic & Appropriate Asset Valuations;
- Loss adjusting ensure claims are legitimate;
- PFI insurance premium adj. & Recharge contractor damage.
- Fire Safety Inspections & Risk Assessments;

- CMT scrutiny
- Statements of Assurance Premises & Fleet;
- Service management meetings;
- Timely and accurate management information;
- Housing Participation Groups;
- Asset Management Group & sub-groups e.g. Fleet;
- Sustainability Working Group;
- Internal and External audit scrutiny and other inspections;
- Capital Planning Group & Investment Committee:
- User Intelligence Meetings;
- Inspection Targets & Actions;
- Procurement Board;
- Supplier & BCP Monitoring;

Internal & External Review of Asset Valuations;

- Monitoring by Asset Management Group & CRMG;
- Independent Broker PFI Calculation;

5. Failure to
properly
recognise, plan
for, and manage
significant change
both internal and
external.

- Missed opportunities / failure to maximise financial position.
- Failure to engage & obtain stakeholder buy-in;
- Failure to support staff through significant change
- Failures in project governance
- Failure to respond to statutory changes (e.g. equalities & planning);
- Failure to achieve SOA, Corporate and Service plan objectives;
- Failure to meet economic
   demographic changes;
- Failure to maximise investment income e.g. TIF
- Failure to respond to emergencies inc pandemic;
- Asset Construction & Design is flawed or does not meet changing statutory and/or needs;
- Failure to respond to emergencies inc pandemic;

- Financial or reputational damage by consequences of change.
- Failure to achieve SOA, Corporate & Service plan objectives
- Deterioration in morale and service & increased stress;
- Time/ Cost / Quality of project outcomes is compromised;
- Prosecution or other legal remedy;
- Failure to achieve and demonstrate best value:
- Failure to meet increased demand fro core services;
- Failure to maximise economic benefits & consequences thereof;
- •Interruption to core services & impact on communities;
- Harm to users (e.g. due to poor ventilation or access);
- Detrimental impact on partner organisations;
- Financial loss;

- Corporate and Service risk management arrangements.
- Participation & Engagement Plans & Strategies;
- Employee Communications,
   Training & Succession Planning
- Project Management Framework,
- Horizon scanning;
- SOA, Corporate and Service Plans (including Pl's);
- Demand planning, aligned with capital, revenue & assets;
- Strategic Projects;
- Business Continuity & Emergency Response Plans;
- Contract and internal insurances - Professional Indemnity (includes cover for architects & designers);
- Media Communications;
- PSIF;

- Member & CMT scrutiny via established committee structure.
- Internal and External audit scrutiny and other inspections.
- Training Evaluations;
- Project Status Reports including risk, issues, cost control, deliverables & savings;
- Liaison with Central and Local Government partners.
- Internal and External audit scrutiny and other inspections;
- Economic & Population Census Information;
- Monitor Funding & Spend;
- BCP Testing & Emergency Planning Working Groups;
- Ongoing review of legal requirements & best practice standards;
- SPSO:

6. Failures in governance,	<ul> <li>Failure to demonstrate best value;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Failure to achieve SOA,</li> <li>Corporate and Service plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SOA, Corporate and Service Plans (including Pl's);</li> </ul>	• CMT;
leadership,		objectives.		
accountability and decision making.	<ul> <li>Members / Officers unclear/ unsure of duties and responsibilities;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Failure of Members / officers to fulfil responsibilities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear &amp; up to date Job Descriptions for members &amp; officers;</li> </ul>	Member Scrutiny via defined Committee Structure;
	<ul> <li>Lack of support for decisions;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>External intervention (overturn decisions and consequences thereof).</li> </ul>	Democratic process;	Standards Commissioner;
	<ul> <li>Members lack the skills to make effective decisions;</li> </ul>	External intervention or criticism;	• APDS and Committee Specific Training for Members;	<ul> <li>Internal and external audit and other inspections.</li> </ul>
	Breakdown in relations between Members Officers:	Unworkable organisation;	Code of conduct	• SPSO;
	<ul> <li>Lack of strategic vision, direction and outcomes;</li> </ul>	Failure to deliver core services;	• Standing orders via scheme of delegation.	<ul> <li>Service management meetings.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to comply with statutory duties;</li> </ul>	Prosecution and other legal action.  Figure 1 leaves	<ul> <li>Legal Implications included in Committee reports;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Horizon Scanning for legal changes;</li> </ul>
		• Financial loss;	<ul> <li>Annual Governance Statement;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Internal and External Audit and other inspections;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Lack of information to support decisions making;</li> </ul>	Ineffective decision making;	Corporate consultation on draft committee reports;	Member scrutiny via established committee structure.
	<ul> <li>Failure to deliver multiple</li> <li>simultaneous elections;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Re-run of elections and consequences thereof;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contingency Plans &amp; Officials Indemnity Insurance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitoring Officers</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to protect vulnerable people from harm;</li> </ul>	• Injury and civil claims;	<ul> <li>Policy, Guidance &amp; Monitoring;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Working Groups inc PVG, Integrating Care; CAPSG; MAPPA; Children's Commission</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Negligent Professional Advice e.g. legal &amp; planning;</li> </ul>	• Flawed decision making	<ul> <li>Professional Indemnity and Officials Liability Insurance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lessons learnt from previous events;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to monitor &amp; replicate best practice;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor Quality Services</li> </ul>	• PSIF & REFLECT;	<ul> <li>Best Value Working Group;</li> </ul>
	• Failures in risk management	<ul> <li>Failure to identify and/or to communicate risks appropriately;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CRM Policy, Framework &amp; Guidance &amp; Risk Registers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CRMG Scrutiny;</li> </ul>

7. Failures in partnerships or contracts with external bodies.	<ul> <li>No delivery mechanism for plan/ project;</li> <li>Failure/ deteriorating performance of partners;</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Failure to deliver core services;</li><li>Financial Loss;</li></ul>	<ul> <li>SOA, Corporate and Service Plans;</li> <li>JWA's. FPP (Follow the Public Pound) &amp; Supplier Monitoring;</li> </ul>	•CMT & Member scrutiny via established committee structure • Partnership & Procurement Board;
	<ul> <li>Interruption to supply chain;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Customer Service Issues &amp; consequences thereof;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Suppliers/partners business continuity arrangements – inc measuring return on investment / impact of funding;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Testing of supplier BCP;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to achieve aims of partnership or contract;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Failure to achieve SOA, corporate and other objectives;</li> </ul>	Partnership Agreement and Contracts, with legal input;	<ul> <li>Internal and external audit and other inspections;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Failure to prioritise partner funding effectively;</li> </ul>	Detrimental impact on partner organisations;	• PSIF;	<ul> <li>Best Value Working Group, and Peer Review Groups;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Harm to service users;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prosecution or other legal action.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Surety e.g. Performance &amp; Parental Guarantee / Insurance</li> </ul>	•Evidence of surety at renewal;
	<ul> <li>Failures in procurement</li> <li>partnership governance;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Time/ Cost / Quality of project outcomes is compromised;</li> </ul>	Procurement Policies, incappointment of consultants;	• SLA's
	<ul> <li>A national trend of more legal challenges against procurement decisions;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased cost of investigating &amp; defending legal challenges and PR impact;</li> </ul>	Robust claims defences.	