Response to the issues raised by objectors to the LRB Review Application P/15/0289/FUL/ONLINE

- With regard to Mr Glass' comments about the site, he patently has issues with the previous owners and it is wholly inappropriate to level the same criticism at the applicant. I do not believe that that he makes any pertinent points relating to the application but instead makes a sweeping statement about all development on the site and the history of complaints.
- 2. Comments from Mrs Hall, I acknowledge that the caravan is visible from the path on the former railway line and that there are two points on Southfield Road where you can view the site. One at the entrance to the path and another at a gap in the substantial hedging. However what is very disconcerting is that Mrs Hall feels need to implore Hardie Associates to be "truthful" and "accurate" whilst she has submitted photographs which have been clearly altered by zooming to give a distorted perspective of the site.



Photograph shows the view of the development from the public road known popularly as Southfield Road.



Here is a picture taken from the road at the gap in the hedge with the fence in the foreground to confirm its true perspective. It is considerably different from that shown above.



From the junction of Southfield Road and the core path (023/1001).

On the next page are pictures taken from the gate at entrance to Core Path with the gate in the foreground to confirm its true perspective again. Again the photograph supplied by Mrs Hall is at best been altered to enhance her point and at worst is false and deliberately misleading. Mrs Hall has been quite clear in her labelling of these photographs and they have been shown to be inaccurate.

What our photographs show is that the caravans is not significant in the landscape.





3. Comments from K Tarry. In her submission she does not make any points about the LRB, we have previously acknowledged that the caravan should not have been sited, however she makes comments on flaws in the planning procedure and also about an incident which is not relevant to the application and one at which she was not present.

In summary, it is apparent that the site history and the local residents long suffering at the hands of previous occupiers has coloured their view of development on this site.

We hope the LRB can allow Mr Bruce to move forward and get ALL the works carried out relating this development and tidy up this area as soon as possible.