Dear Antonia,

Thank you for your letter dated 27th January 2017. It's contents are noted and thank you also for explaining when we spoke about the 3rd statutory period for further comments from all parties.

I note that the DDS has chosen not to expand/respond further to our last submission details to this process, but the 2 Community Councils have in some detail.

In response to their comments(2 of the letters are identical), I would like to make the following more transparent:-

My clients are happy to co-operate with the Council on details such as Highways and landscaping issues by the fulfillment of all the planning conditions they feel are required to place on planning permission for the single house, that is the planning application before Committee. It is unfair to attempt to rehearse the arguments they may make against the Persimmon application, currently under consideration of the DDS here.

The MacFarlane family wish to exercise their right to make a housing application on their land without being in any way prejudiced by another party who are taking a very different approach to development on the their part of the site. As stated before, my clients are looking to built a low-density, high quality housing development, that we feel is appropriate for the land and the landscape. As a zoned site we have to find a way to make the site effective. We strongly believe that our approach is right and very much in keeping with the aims and objectives of the Council- and indeed the Reporter in charge of the FLDP.

As a landowner, totally independent of any other party, we emphasise again, that Plot1, Philip Macfarlanes' own house proposal, will not and does not prejudice any other part of the site and we would respectfully ask that this is recognised without dismissing the CCs concerns for the wider area.

I look forward to hearing from you about a date for the LRC to meet on this matter again and thank you for all your help with the process.

Yours Sincerely,

Anne Cinningham