

Representations Regarding Core Path Plan

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Blackness Area **Route Number** 1457

Description

Reasons I am very concerned that the B903 (path 007/1457) has been included in the core path plan. There is no footpath along this road (apart from the section from the school to Blackness - which has been excluded!) and cars, buses and lorries drive very quickly on it. It is definitely not safe for children/wheelchairs/buggies.

Rep. Comments The section at the B903 from Blackness Primary School to Blackness should be included in the plan (as there is a footpath here)

The rest of path 007/1457 should be excluded from the plan as it is unsafe.

Blackness is on the very edge of Falkirk District and some paths carry on into West Lothian , eg woods at Blackness - there is no indication of this on the plans and this should be included.

There is no shop at Blackness, but there is a farm shop at Pardovan (just into W Lothian). Falkirk and West Lothian Council could work together to provide foot access to this shop.

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number**

Description 013/404; 013/435

Reasons This path is the main route for children attending the two Primary Schools, this requires upgrading, in particular fencing to protect children from the burn.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number** 459

Description link 013/459 along Seabegs Road

Reasons If the pend is being upgraded, residents in the adjacent housing would benefit with a path/pavement

Rep. Comments map provided showing route

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number**

Description

Reasons The residents living south of the canal (Bonnybridge) are poorly served with paths/pavements; the following could assist in giving better access to the surrounding countryside:

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number** 418

Description

Reasons Following discussions with SNH regarding Land Reform Act we can withdraw our objections to the use of all occupation and accommodation bridges. Please note however that works are proposed to bridge OB53 at Milnquarter.

We would also request you discuss with us implications for parapet height and containment as a result of increased usage encouraged by designation as a core path. In addition if at any time it appears that any of the accommodation or occupation bridges are no longer required we will initiate discussions with you to establish your Councils interest in taking on responsibility for a structure if it is considered essential to the core paths network

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number**

Description Map provided showing proposed route along Reilly Road.

Reasons This route is used by the public out walking, as no provision is made for paths/pavements pedestrians cause traffic to swerve to avoid them. A large influx of new housing in the area has increased the requirement for walking routes.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Carron & Carronshore	Route Number
Description	009/1688; 009/1677; 009/1687			
Reasons	<p>Strongly object to inclusion of these routes - these routes are private access for Bensfield Farm and West Lodge.</p> <p>We were approached by Falkirk Council a number of years ago and agreed to the installation of pedestrian access gates on the condition that signs detailing our driveway's private status were displayed. The signs prohibited traffic but permitted other road users. At this time there was no mention of core paths being established, had there been, we would not have cooperated as fully with the council and now feel our goodwill has been taken advantage of. We are happy to have local people who understand our way of life, walk along our driveway but have always had the sanction to remove people causing vandalism and/or displaying anti-social behaviour, etc.</p> <p>Since the installation of the gates, a number of problems have arisen including: increased vandalism, litter, damage to trees, noise pollution, antisocial behaviour, mini-motorbike use, harassment and intimidation, incidences of dog worrying, dog fouling and associated issues Farm Assurance status, fire-raising, gates left open, unauthorised vehicular access, bio-security concerns.</p> <p>Our driveway is an integral link between our two farm steadings and used throughout the working day by tractors, lorries and other heavy farm machinery.</p>			
Rep. Comments	<p>An alternative route would be northwards, along the former Carronshore Road to Mount Green, then continuing along the north side of the Bellsdyke Road (A88) in an easterly direction and would link with the path on Kincardine Road. This alternative provides level tarmac surfaces suitable for all road users and benefits from a wide verge separating it from the pleasant views in all directions, most notably Kinnaird House and the Ochil Hills. The route along Carronshore Road could also link westwards, with the path network that will be created in the North Bellsdyke (Kinnaird Village) area.</p>			
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Carron & Carronshore	Route Number
Description	009/1677; 009/1687; 009/1688			
Reasons	<p>Object to inclusion of these paths:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. access is primarily for use by our neighbours / family / friends, farm vehicles, stock 2. Problems with dog fouling and growing number of public using driveway to exercise dogs, play football, ride mini motor cycles at excessive speed to the danger of all other users, and on many occasions, joy riders racing along the route 3. growing number of users park cars either on the entrance to the driveway or directly across the entrance, causing a serious road hazard 4. increasing objection to our using the driveway by the general public who refuse to move to one side to allow us to pass 5. trees damaged and destroyed 6. littering 7. damage/vandalism of wall 			
Rep. Comments	<p>We would suggest a more suitable route would be to continue up Redpath Drive and Mount Green Road onto the A88 Bellsdyke Road, which is a public road heading east or west, providing a tree lined footpath with a wide grassed verge giving safe separation from passing traffic.</p>			
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Denny & District	Route Number
Description	<p>Core Paths at Wellsfield Farm Objection to proposal to classify private bridle paths at Wellsfield Farm as Core Public Paths</p>			
Reasons	<p>Existing bridle paths have never been classified as "public paths". They are on private agricultural land and were created as private demonstration bridle paths and walkways to be used by equestrian centre horse riding private business clients and to provide temporary managed access to my land. The paths are not permanent and have a planned finite lifespan.</p> <p>Acreage taken up by the bridle paths financially affects my business. My farming policy for 2008 and beyond is to put the associated land back into agricultural arable farming production - ploughing and growing barley and mixed crops.</p> <p>Private pheasant shooting syndicate operates on the land and also a private clay pigeon shooting range. Members of the public gaining access do so at their own risk.</p>			
Rep. Comments	<p>The bridle paths would not meet the core paths criteria and conflict with 4 out of the 5 criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They are not fit for multi-use 2. They do not create a route in and around settlements and centres of population 3. The route does not help people get to and enjoy visitor attractions and historic or natural heritage sites. 5. The bridle path does not create links between settlements; facilities, transport links and attractions. <p>Therefore the bridle paths at Wellsfield could not be classified as core. At best they are low grade grassed, muddy field margins, uneven and unmanaged.</p>			

Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Falkirk Central	Route Number	747
Description					
Reasons	The section of this path off Glen Brae runs through the curtilage of South Lodge which remains in the ownership of Callendar Estate. The majority of Callendar Wood now belongs to the Forestry Commission and their main entrance is off Kemper Ave (core path 002/747). The entrance off Glen Brae is not available to the public and the gate is generally kept shut and occasionally locked for the security of South Lodge. Callendar Estate is not happy to support the designation of this section as a core path.				
Rep. Comments	This is a Formal Objection - the designation of this route as a core path will have an adverse impact on the curtilage of South Lodge.				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Falkirk Central	Route Number	
Description	002/741; 002/728				
Reasons	This path appears to cross the line where there is no level crossing or bridge. We must object to this crossing of the line unless by a bridge with exiting access rights or by a public level crossing.				
Rep. Comments	The scale of the plans available can make it difficult to determine the exact route of the path. It would be useful if you could provide a more detailed plan of path routes at this point. This further information may enable us to withdraw this objection.				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Grahamston, Middlefield & Westfield	Route Number	1271
Description	005/1271; 005/1280; 005/1282				
Reasons	We must maintain our objection to these paths. The adjacent site has the potential for freight use and the future use of this line for freight traffic should not be prejudiced by its use as a path. We note that the line of the path has been moved off land in Network Rail ownership but must maintain our objection as the path continues on other former railway land which should be safeguarded for freight use - as recommended in you Council development plan. A relocation of the path appears possible to the west and would enable us to withdraw our objection.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Hallglen, Glen Village and Lochgreen	Route Number	1066
Description					
Reasons	Through the Environmental Justice Fund there are plans to extend this path parallel to the public road to link up with the battle of Falkirk Monument. This path will be completed by the end of March 2008 and should therefore be included in the core paths plan.				
Rep. Comments	This is a Representation, not a Formal Objection				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Hallglen, Glen Village and Lochgreen	Route Number	N/A
Description					
Reasons	Map submitted showing path constructed through the Environmental Justice Fund project. This path should be shown on the core paths plan although I would point out that Callendar Estate is not the land owner on this occasion.				
Rep. Comments	This is a Representation, not a Formal Objection				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Hallglen, Glen Village and Lochgreen	Route Number	1192
Description					
Reasons	The proposed path runs through an area of woodland. There is an existing faint desire line which is occasionally used by pedestrians. The wood has recently been regenerated through the Environmental Justice Fund project, although there are no plans to upgrade the current desire line. I would question whether this path should be included in the core paths plan as it clearly will not be fit for multi-use. Callendar Estate would however, be happy to work with Falkirk Council or others to upgrade this path to a better standard.				
Rep. Comments	This is a Representation, not a Formal Objection				

Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Hallglen, Glen Village and Lochgreen	Route Number	1184
Description					
Reasons	The path is not on Callendar Estate land but I am aware that the path has been upgraded through the Environmental Justice Fund project. The correct alignment is shown on the attached plan and I would suggest that the core paths plan is adjusted accordingly				
Rep. Comments	This is a Representation, not a Formal Objection				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Hallglen, Glen Village and Lochgreen	Route Number	1135
Description					
Reasons	This path has now been upgraded with funding through the Environmental Justice Fund. However, the new alignment now lies slightly to the north of the current route shown. Correct alignment highlighted on map provided.				
Rep. Comments	This is a Formal Objection. However, if the correct alignment is shown Callendar Estate will withdraw its objection.				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Hallglen, Glen Village and Lochgreen	Route Number	1199
Description					
Reasons	The section of path shown parallel to the High Bonnybridge Road does not yet exist. Whilst the Estate has plans to install a path at this location, funding has not yet been secured and we feel that it is premature for the route to be promoted as a core path.				
Rep. Comments	This comment is a Representation NOT Formal Objection				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	185
Description					
Reasons	This path runs along the bank of the River Carron at Larbert and has washed out 3 times in the last 15 years. Several years ago, Callendar Estate installed a new path at the top of the embankment (011/180) to provide safe and secure access to the weir. The path in question is now only used by local fishermen and given the flood risk is not supported by Callendar Estate as a core path				
Rep. Comments	There is an alternative path immediately to the south. The path in question is not sustainable given past experience with flooding and if promoted will increase the Estate's liability. This comment is a Formal Objection.				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Lower Braes	Route Number	512
Description	Raewell Fire Brick site, Meadowbank, Polmont Currently submitted with planning department for planning consent by David Wilson Homes.				
Reasons	Object to the consultation document as it stands, as it has material and conflicting points of concern within it and we do not wish to be obliged or forced to provide footpath links unnecessarily at cost to the development which does not substantiate the on cost.				
Rep. Comments	As part of planning consent application we are being asked to install link paths to our boundary which will link to surrounding proposed path network. We have rejected the idea of David Wilson Homes funding these paths: a. due to nature, cost and extent of these works; and b. we believe this is a function and requirement of the local authority to provide such links as demonstrated in your consultation document.				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Polmont	Route Number	
Description	path from Station Road (opposite the Bowling Club at the end of 016/600) onto Erskine Hill				
Reasons	Very short but again is an important link and should be included.				
Rep. Comments					

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Polmont **Route Number**

Description footpath along the embankment (between north end of 016/541 and 016/602)

Reasons This footpath has been completely omitted although it is currently well used. Again it appears to meet all the criteria except number 1 as it is not suitable for all users.

Rep. Comments I do not think it is sufficient to class any of the 3 additional routes as aspirational, or anything else less than existing core paths as they are all currently well-used, clearly defined paths which especially when used with local streets form part of clear and enjoyable loop routes. Not including them leads in all 3 cases to paths which have been included stopping at pointless dead ends which will not meet the needs of any users.

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Polmont **Route Number** 541

Description

Reasons Path 016/541 should continue to the SW, under the railway and across the field to join 017/654 (the canal towpath) at the end of the track from Polmont Station. This is a well used path, signposted at the railway end and marked by a stile by the canal. It does not have a hard surface but it meets the other four criteria as it is an important link in routes between Polmont and Brightons and the canal.

Rep. Comments The field path is also an important part of a loop using the canal towpath and Battock Lane to link to the footpath along the embankment (between north end of 016/541 and 016/602).

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Polmont **Route Number** 566

Description

Reasons path 016/566 should continue over the viaduct and up to the junction with Millfield Drive - this is a clear path and well used route and the only possible reason for omitting it would appear to be past difficulties over the maintenance of the bridge. The current route is a dead end.

Rep. Comments Path appears to have been omitted because it is unsurfaced but it is clear from page 26 that surface alone is insufficient

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As residents within the locale of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.

We are in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As land owners of the above Core Path Plan proposal, with vehicular access on the private road potentially providing access to the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.

We require to take vehicular access freely and fully at all times, as is our right as owners. Additionally, this road is used by other owners with cars, as well as carrying heavy farm vehicles (diggers, tractors, etc). Therefore, this poses a major risk to members of the public.

Furthermore, since members of the public have been exercising their right to roam, unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse etc are further contributory factors in violating our own rights.

Please ensure our objections to this Core Path Plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As land owners of the above Core Path Plan proposal, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.

We require to take vehicular access freely and fully at all times, as is our right as owners. Additionally, this road is used by other owners with cars, as well as carrying heavy farm vehicles (diggers, tractors, etc). Therefore, this poses a major risk to members of the public.

Furthermore, we also feel this Core Path Plan will be detrimental to our quality of life. Since members of the public have been exercising their right to roam along our private road, our privacy has been affected. Unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse etc are further contributory factors in violating our own rights in our area of residence.

Finally, we wish to point out that there are livestock and mares in foal being harassed by un-leashed dogs.

Please ensure our objections to this Core Path Plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description All access roads that belong to Quarryhead Farm be removed from path plan.

Path numbers 448, 456, 454, 455, 444

Reasons Do not fulfill criteria for path as set out in Scottish Outdoor Access Code.

Objections include: anti-social behaviour, fly tipping, health and safety issues, privacy rights, dog fouling, damage to our private property, abuse from general public not fulfilling responsible behaviour and attitudes from general public, Private access roads not classed as land water, roads belong to house for use of by owners not general public, safety of our property safety and well being of our animals, wildlife.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As land owner at the above Core Path Plan proposal, with vehicular access on the private road potentially providing access to the above, I hereby register my objection to this going ahead.

I require to take vehicular access freely and fully at all times, as is my right as owner. Additionally, this road is used by other owners with cars, as well as carrying heavy farm vehicles (diggers, tractors, etc). Therefore, this poses a major risk to members of the public.

Since members of the public have been exercising their right to roam, unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse etc are further contributory factors in violating my rights.

Finally, I wish to point out that there are livestock and mares in foal being harassed by un-leashed dogs.

Please ensure my objection to this Core Path Plan is lodged, as I have no wish for it to go ahead.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As residents within the locale of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.

We are in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.

Rep. Comments Please ensure our objections to this core path plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.

Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number
Description	018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702			
Reasons	As residents within the locale of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.			
	We are in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.			
Rep. Comments	Please ensure our objections to this core path plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.			
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number
Description	018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702			
Reasons	As residents within the locale of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.			
	We are in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.			
Rep. Comments	Please ensure our objections to this core path plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.			
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number
Description	018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702			
Reasons	As land owners at the above Core Path Plan proposal, with vehicular access on the private road potentially providing access of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.			
	We require vehicular access freely and fully at all times, as is our right as owners. Additionally, the road is used by other owners with cars, as well as carrying heavy farm vehicles (diggers, tractors, etc). Therefore, this poses a major risk to members of the public.			
	Since members of the public have been exercising their right to roam along our private road, our privacy has been affected. Unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse, etc. are further contributory factors in violating our own rights.			
	There are livestock and mares in foal being harassed by un-leashed dogs.			
Rep. Comments	Please ensure our objections to this Core Path Plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.			
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number
Description	018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702			
Reasons	As a resident within the locale of the above, I hereby register my objection to this going ahead.			
	I am in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.			
	Please ensure my objection to this Core Path Plan is lodged, as I have no wish for it to go ahead.			
Rep. Comments				
Representation Type	Formal Objection	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number
Description	018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702			
Reasons	As land owners at the above Core Path Plan proposal, with vehicular access on the private road potentially providing access of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.			
	We require vehicular access freely and fully at all times, as is our right as owners. Additionally, the road is used by other owners with cars, as well as carrying heavy farm vehicles (diggers, tractors, etc). Therefore, this poses a major risk to members of the public.			
	Since members of the public have been exercising their right to roam along our private road, our privacy has been affected. Unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse, etc. are further contributory factors in violating our own rights.			
	There are livestock and mares in foal being harassed by un-leashed dogs.			
Rep. Comments	Please ensure our objections to this Core Path Plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.			

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As landowners at the above Core Path Plan proposal, we hereby register our objection to this going ahead.

Unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse, etc are further contributing in violating our own rights.

Rep. Comments Please ensure our objections to this Core Path Plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As residents within the locale of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.

We are in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.

Rep. Comments Please ensure our objections to this core path plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As residents within the locale of the above, we hereby register our objections to this going ahead.

We are in support of the land owners of the surrounding property who are objecting and whose rights are being infringed.

Rep. Comments Comments Form received 25/04/08 from LAURA ROBERTSON:
As per my previous letter, I am objecting in support of the Wesleymount residents, whose rights are being infringed. I do, however, support the alternative route being discussed by the residents and the Council at the moment

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Shieldhill & California **Route Number**

Description 018/694; 018/696; 018/701; 018/702

Reasons As land owners at the above Core Path Plan proposal, with vehicular access on the private road potentially providing access of the above, we hereby register our strong objections to this going ahead.

We require vehicular access freely and fully at all times, as is our right as owners. Additionally, the road is used by other owners with cars, as well as carrying heavy farm vehicles (diggers, tractors, etc). Therefore, this poses a major risk to members of the public.

We feel this Core Path will be detrimental to our quality of life. Since members of the public have been exercising their right to roam along our private road, our privacy has been affected. Unreasonable access is being taken during unsociable hours and motorbikes/quads are causing noise disturbance at all hours of the day and night. Dog fouling, vandalism, litter, animals straying, broken bottles being left and alcohol abuse, etc. are further contributory factors in violating our own rights.

There are livestock and mares in foal being harassed by un-leashed dogs.

Rep. Comments Please ensure our objections to this Core Path Plan are lodged, as we have no wish for it to go ahead.

Letter dated 26 April 2008 received: previous objection letter was not from them, and that they have no objection to Falkirk Council's Core Paths Plan as it stands.

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Slamannan & Limerigg **Route Number** 1033

Description

Reasons The farm steading is within 1.5m from the proposed path and because of livestock being in these buildings this could cause a health and safety issue if animals were spooked by strangers, dogs or children, especially if there were people working in with the cattle.

There is also an issue with disease security when people can be so near livestock. There is also the danger of farm machinery operating around the farm when strangers can freely be so close, especially children.

Rep. Comments The two alternative routes are marked on the map (attached to representation) and both give access to the forestry. Currently no-one walks up as far as the farm, and if people do walk up Mosscastle Road they all turn at the bridge below the farm or even before that and we wouldn't like to encourage any more strangers to be any closer.

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Slamannan & Limerigg **Route Number**

Description

Reasons Object to constraints by SPA designation and land management issues. B and C roads already exist which connect these points, e.g. 023/1045 and 018/700.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Formal Objection **Community Council** Whitecross **Route Number** 872

Description

Reasons We are acting on behalf of our client. Myrehead is a working farm. The steading is in need of refurbishment and building works will be carried out in the next year or so for this.

The core path will have a direct influence on increasing the number of people using the farm track, and therefore walking through the steading at Myrehead. Members of the public, unaware of the dangers that may present themselves on working farms (such as tractors turning and livestock running loose) are at risk of being injured. We feel this will place a higher liability on the owner of the farm. In addition, when refurbishment commences, there will be more site traffic in and around the steading.

The track running through the steading is adjacent to the farm house. Under the Land Reform Act access to the countryside should be exercised responsibly, and people's privacy and peace of mind should be respected. We feel with potentially an increase in the number of people using the farm track as a result of the core path being publicised, it will undoubtedly affect the privacy of those staying in the farm house.

Rep. Comments For reasons of public safety and the ability of the owner of the farm to exercise his rights fully as an occupier of a dwelling house and its surrounds we object to core path 021/872. We would suggest there be works carried out either to move the proposed core path or prevent members of the public accessing the track.

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** **Route Number**

Description

Reasons Historic Scotland should be consulted before upgrading existing paths or new paths proposed in and around the vicinity of scheduled monuments.

HS should also be consulted on any proposals to upgrade or carry out physical works to any paths within the boundary of any Properties in Care.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** **Route Number**

Description Paths in areas of Blackness, Bo'ness and Airth (007, 008 and 010) alongside the Firth of Forth Special Protection Area

Reasons SPA is host to internationally important numbers of birds which are susceptible to disturbance. Measures to increase the usage of the paths along the pSPA could require an appropriate assessment. At a minimum we would recommend that as part of the suggested improvements, interpretation be put in place asking owners to leash their dogs whilst walking next to this section of the Forth, to avoid disturbing the birds.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** **Route Number**

Description The Antonine Wall

Reasons The Antonine Wall including scheduled and unscheduled sections of the monument has been proposed as a World Heritage Site.

A management plan has been submitted to UNESCO. Physical access to the site forms an important consideration not only for the conservation of the monument but also for using and enjoying the proposed World Heritage Site. The Core Paths Plan should take account of the proposed WHS and its management aims. We would encourage further consultation with the Antonine Wall Management Plan Working Group.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Route Number
Description			
Reasons	<p>SNH supports this plan. We recommend the inclusion of aspirational or potential routes within the Core Paths Plan.</p> <p>Sufficiency - the CPP meets this criterion at a medium level and, in general, it appears to have taken account of consultation findings. Suggest addition of on road links and other paths within and between settlements and the inclusion of more core paths, perhaps using quiet roads, in areas such as west of Denny and in the south around Slamannan and Avonbridge. The inclusion of future potential routes would help in indicating strategic aspirations for the CPP, which would point towards what the cohesiveness of the network could look like.</p> <p>Core Paths Plan should show your paths that link with neighbouring access authority core paths, which can be indicated with an arrow.</p> <p>Natura sites - the Firth of Forth SPA / Slamannan Plateau proposed SPA: An Appropriate Assessment must be undertaken if there are likely to be significant effects on these sites</p>		

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Route Number
Description			
Reasons	<p>The development of the Draft Core Paths Plan is a positive step towards encouraging sustainable travel in the Falkirk area.</p> <p>The new hospital at Larbert will see the formation of a Travel Planning Group. Part of the groups remit will focus on travel to the Hospital by walking and cycling. Would it be possible to be kept apprised of the development of the core path network?</p>		

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Route Number
Description			
Reasons			
Rep. Comments	<p>Good to see cross Falkirk east-west link from Avonbridge to Limerigg starting 022/916 to 023/1033. Opportunity to ensure good links into West Lothian's network from 022/916. Links into NL network could be dealt with through suggestion in point 2 above.</p>		

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Airth Parish	Route Number	88
Description					
Reasons	<p>010/88 should be extended east to Kincardine Bridge and Higgins Neuk</p> <p>Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan</p>				

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Airth Parish	Route Number	81
Description					
Reasons	<p>We live adjacent to this path and are generally happy with its inclusion in the plan. However:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the surface between Brackenlees Road and the access road to Powfoulis Hotel is extremely rough in places and not suitable for good quality road bikes or wheelchairs. As the land owner is not interested in upkeep we would welcome any assistance the council is willing to give 2. the path also suffers from litter and flytipping and any assistance is welcomed 3. the route where it crosses the A876 is inaccurate. This is shortly to become a motorway and is at present a work site and therefore unusable. We presume the route could use the multi-use bridge being constructed over the motorway on the river bridge side of the roundabouts 				

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Airth Parish	Route Number
Description	010/71 & 010/121			
Reasons	<p>There is a core path network from South Alloa to Dunmore and a core path from Hiiggensnueck to Shore Road Airth but there is no linkage from Shore Road Airth to Dunmore.</p> <p>I would like Falkirk Council to include a core path and that would allow a footpath virtually along the forth from Skinflats to South Alloa.</p>			
Rep. Comments				
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Airth Parish	Route Number 91
Description	The Pineapple			
Reasons	<p>This route passes close to "The Pineapple", an area where Great Crested Newts (<i>Triturus cristatus</i>) have been observed [NGR: NS 887 884]. This is a UK BAP priority species and care should be taken not to disrupt its habitat during any construction work.</p>			
Rep. Comments	Other than that the ecology department at SEPA are happy with the plan and indeed wish you every success with the			
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Avonbridge & Standburn	Route Number
Description	P22 Avonbridge, Slamannan Path nos: 022/914, 022/916, 022/996			
Reasons	<p>The footpath leaving Avonbridge bearing east 022/914 and joining 022/916 stops on reaching W Lothian land. It starts again on Falkirk side 022/966. There is a path joining these two paths which was constructed in 1986/87 by Manpower Services in conjunction with Countryside Trust for Scotland. I was a supervisor on that project. The path was used variously by local people rambling, fishers, bird watchers etc. Since then Avonvalley Angling Association has kept the path in "reasonable" condition by removing dead trees and cutting back brambles and grass. In this area there is an abundance of wildlife/fauna ie swallows, swifts, sandmartins, kingfishers, dippers, woodpeckers, long tailed tits, 3 types of hawk, occasionally roe deer have all been observed. In addition a very rare type of tree moth has been seen. I think this particular path would be a useful addition to your existing network.</p>			
Rep. Comments	NB I had difficulty making out the place names on your map.			
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Avonbridge & Standburn	Route Number 954
Description	River Avon Heritage Trail - West Lothian side			
Reasons	<p>It would appear that this path is within West Lothian Council's area of responsibility and cannot therefore be designated as a Falkirk Council Core Path. This may be a mapping issue but I am unaware of a path on the north side of the River Avon that connects with the River Avon Heritage Trail.</p>			
Rep. Comments				
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Bainsford, Langlees & New Carron	Route Number
Description	Gowan Lane, off Gowan Ave			
Reasons	<p>Provides a well-used route from Grahams Road, by the Star Inn, to the canal. There is a concern that this lane should be included as a connecting path to ensure it does not get 'lost' in the redevelopment of the Grahamston Foundry site.</p>			
Rep. Comments				
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Banknock, Haggs & Longcroft	Route Number 467
Description				
Reasons	<p>It will be advantageous for relevant CPs to link into and around the Antonine Wall, especially in light of potential World Heritage status. Especially true around Banknock route 014/467, as NL side of things well covered between Castlecary and Dullatur. Would be good if connecting core path at Seabegs (running from 014/467) was a core path itself?</p>			
Rep. Comments				
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Banknock, Haggs & Longcroft	Route Number
Description	Right of Way off Lochgreen Road at NS811774 through Castlecary Low Wood to Allandale			
Reasons	Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan			
Rep. Comments				

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Banknock, Hags & Longcroft	Route Number	
Description	014/499 and 014/489				
Reasons	Access onto canal towpath just before tunnel going under motorway. Up to last year just around autumn, access on horseback was easy as there were some white boulders that the horse could step over. These have now been replaced by a metal gate and I would not attempt to ride my horse through the opening.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Blackness Area	Route Number	1457
Description	Paths 007/1457 and 007/1446				
Reasons	In the Blackness area both routes lie along busy and narrow 'B' roads which, except for the short section of 007/1457 running from the school to junction with 007/1446, do not include a made-up footpath. In the interest of safety, upgrading to include a defined footway appears to be essential.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Blackness Area	Route Number	1453
Description	Foreshore Path - 007/1453; 007/1456				
Reasons	The present uneven condition of certain sections of this path arises mainly from coastal erosion. Proposals for rectification of this path and future alignment should be linked with those for erosion and flood control to benefit both schemes				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Blackness Area	Route Number	1457
Description	Link Blackness Village to path 007/1457				
Reasons	It is not clear why the section of B903 running from the junction with B9109 (007/1446) has not been shown as a core path. This is one of the few sections already carrying a made up footpath and represents an obvious link between 007/1457, 007/1446 and the group of paths associated with the foreshore and Blackness village paths.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Bo'ness	Route Number	1489
Description	Linlithgow footpath				
Reasons	In the core paths plan I would like to be able to see more easily how paths like this one join up our towns with their neighbours. This path, shown on the map on Page 9, appears to stop because it goes into West Lothian. Please reveal more of the surroundings in this map by using a lighter colour for shading outside the council area. This approach would help indicate the importance of paths such as this one as links between communities.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Bo'ness	Route Number	
Description	I wanted to comment, in general, on the Bo'ness routes.				
Reasons	I agree that all are well used. What is missing, however, is a set of very well used, functional routes, up and down the now pedestrianised paths (e.g. School Brae) which provide routes to and from shops/schools etc. These paths, once roads for cars, are excellent resources but are very steep and difficult for many sectors of the community to use (e.g. older people). It would be great if these core routes could be made more accessible. A series of long steps may be more appropriate with slopes to take you from one tier to the next. I have noticed that many older people do not attempt to use these paths in the winter as they are so steep. I understand that steps are problematic for wheelchairs etc but the current gradients would inhibit them anyway. School Brae is one of many examples of this in Bo'ness. An ingenious engineer might come up with a good solution which would enable the whole community to remain connected to shops etc.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Bo'ness	Route Number	1528
Description	The bay at Grangepans				
Reasons	This core path becomes deeply rutted with muddy puddles as a consequence of unlicensed motorcycle and mini moto usage. Excluding this requires action. Edinburgh Council leaflets on the subject suggest management strategies.				
Rep. Comments					

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Bo'ness **Route Number**

Description All roads

Reasons Many are existing roads which are definitely not safe for walking & or cycling. All should at least have warning signs showing dangers eg No footpath, Pedestrians & cyclists on road! etc. Sustrans should be consulted & involved. They have same aims policies & achievements for years.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Bo'ness **Route Number**

Description Road from Upper Kinneil by Golf Course to Round the Forth route at NT017797

Reasons Alternative to Bo'ness Town traffic.

Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number** 435

Description Path between St Josephs School and Antonine School.

Reasons I am disappointed because all the children who go to St Joseph's RC Primary School walk through some part of fields before join onto proper paths. Could you consider a proper path from St Josephs School joining to the core path from Antonine School (map provided)

Rep. Comments Please email with progress.

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Bonnybridge **Route Number**

Description Bonnybridge - Seabegs/Milnquarter

Reasons It would be really useful if there was an extension of the Seabegs Road footpath along Seabegs Road heading west towards Allandale. If it could be extended from Greenhill Road along the southside of the carriageway to the start of Seabegs Wood to meet at 'the secret tunnel'. It would give access to the canal and Allandale without having to double track along paths 404 and 435 to then join the canal towpath at 430 to head west. If we visit Seabegs Wood safely, this is the long roundabout road we need to use. If a footpath was installed it would avoid people using the road and causing an accident hazard - which is what is happening.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Camelon **Route Number** 62

Description

Reasons Query accuracy of route on map.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Camelon **Route Number**

Description Cycle path from canal at the Wheel to 3 Bridges Roundabout

Reasons Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Camelon **Route Number**

Description 001/29 and 001/31

Reasons Request removal of route as alternative route (001/30) was created to attract people away from sensitive area of Antonine

Rep. Comments

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Camelon	Route Number	
Description	Tamfourhill Road to Roman Fort				
Reasons	Upgrading of path from Tamfourhill Road to Roman Fort on south side of Edinburgh/Glasgow railway (ie parallel to				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Camelon	Route Number	28
Description	001/28 - 001/40				
Reasons	Repairs and re-alignment required along river bank and protection to existing clack valve required. Would it be possible to extend the path along the east bank of the River to Mungal/Cauldhame area?				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Carron & Carronshore	Route Number	
Description	Link 009/1688 to 011/201				
Reasons	Existing paths and underpass				
	Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Carron and Carronshore	Route Number	1681
Description	009/1681 and 009/1700				
Reasons	There is an excellent footpath that links mill Road to Blackmill Crescent, known locally as the old railway line, it is a 2 metre tar macadam footpath that was installed as a safe routes initiative and could link the 2 above core paths.				
	would it be possible to include?				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Carron and Carronshore	Route Number	1701
Description	009/1701				
Reasons	The above core path should be linked from Bothkennar Road to Waterslap Road via Kirkton Farm, this has been a long standing issue for the residents of Carronshore and i firmly believe that it should be a connecting core path.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Carron and Carronshore	Route Number	1701
Description	009/1701				
Reasons	The route from Waterslap Road to the Garibaldi pit over the motorway should be open to walkers. Falkirk Council in the past used public funds to bring environmental diversification to the old bing but the public cannot enjoy such scenery.				
Rep. Comments					

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Denny & District **Route Number**

Description

Reasons

My main concerns are as follows:

1. To continue to drive forward the initiative to connect the new Denny High School with the surrounding area via the paths network with the intention of these paths being identified as the main access routes to the school.
2. It is the intention that I continue to strive towards upgrading the paths network to enable safe cycle and pedestrian access routes to the school, with the help of Falkirk Council and Local Councillors.
3. When the paths are being used for access routes to the schools, that they will be adequately managed by the local authority in terms of bin provision, policing and general maintenance.
4. The cycle routes to the Falkirk Wheel area be reconsidered for funding application and fully pursued. This connection could be a catalyst to the future improvements in the economy of Denny by:
 - a) enabling greater scope for cycling routes through Falkirk District taking in main tourist attractions and surrounding villages.
 - b) creating a sustainable transport network connecting Denny with the surrounding area
 - c) improving health and wellbeing as identified in the local community plan.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Denny & District **Route Number** 284

Description

Reasons

012/284 should continue north to A883

Path from Denovan House to Plean Road at NS821845

Road from NS800825 west to Doups NS749813 poss link Tak-ma-Doon Road

Rep. Comments

Additional paths that should be included in the Core Paths Plan

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Denny & District **Route Number**

Description

Reasons

I would like to see how the network for Falkirk is to join up with the neighbouring councils. I work in Stirling and would like to cycle to work, but other than an extremely busy and fast road, there is no off-road cycle track I could use. I would like the Councils to work together to develop this type of network.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Denny & District **Route Number**

Description

Reasons

Lack of contiguous paths and off-road tracks that make the core path network around Denny and Dunipace. While there are a huge length of paths, there doesn't appear to be any that link to the wider outside, for example to Falkirk or Larbert.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Denny & District **Route Number** ALL

Description

Reasons

Maps - without doubt the most illegible I have ever seen. If I were cynical, I'd think this was deliberate

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Denny & District **Route Number**

Description

Reasons

Future links from Fankerton up to Carron Bridge would be good to see, providing the opportunity to link into routes in and around Carron Valley.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Falkirk Central **Route Number**

Description Paths across Bellsmeadow Park

002/725 link off this path to government offices which then link with 002/753 and 002/751 to Laurieston

Reasons Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** General Comments **Route Number**

Description

Reasons

Rep. Comments There is a strong need for education/interpretation of users' rights and responsibilities under the Scottish Outdoor Access Code. Particular concerns were raised about the general understanding of:

1. dog control, esp. the requirement for 'close control'
2. requirement for motorcycles and quad bikes to have explicit permission
3. horse riding access rights. E.g. Callendar Park lochside (Map 002/725, p. 12) used to have a 'no horse riding' sign

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Grahamston, Middlefield & Westfield **Route Number**

Description Gowan Lane link from canal to Gowan Ave

Reasons Additional paths that should be included in the Core Paths Plan

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Grangemouth **Route Number**

Description Paths 006/1433, 006/1417 and 006/1440 run alongside Bothkennar Pools

Reasons This area is an important site for wintering waders and gulls. Currently there is some disturbance to the birds from people using the path and RSPB Scotland would value discussions with the Council on how this could be managed as part of a wider project. This should also address the issue of a smaller path running off the path and down to the foreshore.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Grangemouth **Route Number**

Description Coastal Right of Way CF97 adjacent to 006/1417; 006/1433; 006/1440

Reasons Would you consider including the coastal right of way (CF97) which runs parallel to this path from the Carron estuary up to the Kincardine Bridge as a core path?

Rep. Comments We are unable to make comments which require very detailed local knowledge. However, our member has represented our interests on the Access Forum and the plan meets with his approval.

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Hallglen **Route Number**

Description

Reasons It would be helpful if the plan could clarify the text here and specify which monument is being referred to.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Hallglen **Route Number**

Description

Reasons 003/1063 should link to 1104 for cycle route to Hallglen School

Right of Way from Shieldhill Farm (NS883769) to Union Canal (NS913771)

Right of Way Beam Farm to New Craig Cottage (003/1142) should extend west then south

Road off west side B803 (NS853742) west by road and track to NS815736

Rep. Comments Additional paths that should be included in the Core Paths Plan

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Hallglen	Route Number	
Description	Lochgreen Road to Slamannan Road				
Reasons	Two existing routes running south from Lochgreen Road to Slamannan Road - are these rights of way/core paths?				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Hallglen	Route Number	
Description	003/1142 to 003/1135				
Reasons	There is an existing route between these paths crossing Lochgreen Road - could this be up-graded?				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Hallglen	Route Number	
Description	Junction 003/1114 and 003/1155				
Reasons	Access to Roughcastle woodland is 'blocked' by a tied up gate and farm manure pile/slurry.				
Rep. Comments	Route from 003/1155 west to the road to High Bonnybridge is not marked.				
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	
Description	New core path required from near Larbert Cross, through hospital grounds to join existing path 011/172 before it crosses the motorway.				
Reasons	This route has been used by many people for many years and is almost a "right of way". It provides a safe "off road" route to Torwood and Dunipace.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	180
Description	011/180 - 011/185				
Reasons	An extension of this pathway along the bank of the River Carron as far as Dunipace Mill would be a welcome addition.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	
Description	New core path required from Torwood to Larbert parallel to Stirling Road but on landward side of existing walls and				
Reasons					
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	
Description	011/186; 011/176; 011/126; 011/206; 011/207 - these paths are those crossing the grounds of Falkirk Tryst Golf Club.				
Reasons	The Committee of the Golf Club consider it to be both inadvisable and potentially dangerous to permit horse riding on these paths. It is more than possible that the near passage of a flying golf ball or the sound of one landing close by could startle a horse, causing it to rear up or bolt. This could result in danger to the rider and golf club land users and also cause possible damage to carefully prepared playing surfaces (eg greens, tees or fairways).				
Rep. Comments	Possible solutions: 1. Falkirk Council to define a different route for horse riders away from the golf course. 2. Falkirk Council to produce clearly visible and worded signs instructing horse riders wishing to use the subject paths to dismount and lead the horse, on a short rein, whilst crossing the golf course.				
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	189
Description					
Reasons	Re-surfacing required from viaduct to west carron				
Rep. Comments					

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	250
Description	011/250				
Reasons	I remain of the opinion that the proposal has the path in the wrong place and as we agreed, I have therefore enclosed other maps showing where the path has to go.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Larbert, Stenhousemuir & Torwood	Route Number	
Description	more core paths/rights of way in the Larbert-Stenhousemuir area particularly west of the railway line.				
Reasons					
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Polmont	Route Number	648
Description	Route across jinkaboot bridge to link with Round the Forth route 016/648.				
Reasons	Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Reddingmuirhead & Wallacestone	Route Number	
Description	Path with footbridge over railway at east end of Redding Industrial Estate				
Reasons	Links Union Canal with King George's Field and new development at Overton Redding Additional path that should be included in the Core Paths Plan				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number	
Description	Mavisbank Wood (NS892773)				
Reasons	New path constructed through Mavisbank Wood allows safe passage down beside B8028. This would allow passage from Shieldhill to path 003/1089 and could connect to path 018/683 if you follow access track to Easter Pirleyhill. Should this be designated as a core path?				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number	
Description	Mavisbank Wood				
Reasons	The paths currently under construction are not marked on the map.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Shieldhill & California	Route Number	702
Description	018/702; 018/696				
Reasons	SCCC would like to put forward the alternative proposal for the termination of core path 018/702 leading into right of way 018/696 (map provided). Following meetings with Falkirk Council, landowners and Central Scotland Forest Trust, CSFT is drawing up a proposal with costings for this route and an upgrade to the right of way.				
Rep. Comments	Our concern is that the alternative route we propose be promoted and publicised from the outset as the core path. On behalf of SCCC I submit this amendment to core path 018/702 as a viable alternative proposal to the termination of this route.				

Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	1045
Description					
Reasons	There is no reason why this path should not be joined to path 018/700				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	1033
Description					
Reasons	Path adjacent to fields frequently used by wintering bean geese, for which the Slamannan Plateau is designated as a proposed Special Protection Area (pSPA). This species is particularly susceptible to disturbance and any increase in people using these paths could potentially result in them abandoning the adjacent fields. This could be classed as a significant effect on the pSPA and the Council may be obliged to undertake an appropriate assessment under The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994. RSPB Scotland suggests that the core path be moved to the south of the B803 to avoid the likelihood of impact on the bean geese. Links could be made with paths 023/1001 and 023/1993				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	1993
Description					
Reasons	This path is detached and isolated and would benefit from joining the disused railway to path 023/1045 thereby creating an adjoining route.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	
Description	Track linking B825 at NS882716 via Arnloss to B8022 at NS873727				
	Road from Avonbridge at NS911721 going west via Lin house, Greenhill, Fencehillhead to Easter Whin - possible links to Limerigg School and Black Loch				
Reasons	Additional paths that should be included in the Core Paths Plan				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	1033
Description					
Reasons	Leads to nowhere, ie there is no natural "rounding off" to the path network				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	1033
Description					
Reasons	It would be good to see a route linking Slamannan to Fannyside Muir area in North Lanarkshire (important missing link). At the moment Falkirk CP route (ref 023/1033) doesn't link up with NL CP133 at Easter Glentore, which could easily be achieved by utilising minor/quiet roads.				
Rep. Comments					
Representation Type	Representation	Community Council	Slamannan & Limerigg	Route Number	971
Description					
Reasons	It would be good to see a link between Limerigg and Longriggend in NL. At the moment path 023/971 isn't linked to anything on the NL side - we have also made this observation to NLC. If link included, would create a good cross boundary route, and also create a much bigger joined up network around Caldercruix/Hillend Reservoir in NL and around				
Rep. Comments					

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Slamannan & Limerigg **Route Number** 1008

Description

Reasons Path number 0231008 on forestry commission land does not currently exist and there has never been a path there. However, there was a path nearer the village of Slamannan and within 25 yards of a pavement and the 30 mph sign. This path was blocked by the landowner digging a trench and using earth moving equipment to further block this path. The proposed path on the cpp means that dog walkers and horse riders would have to walk along the B8022 to access the non-existent path. The B8022 is a very busy road with buses, lorries and fast cars.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Slamannan & Limerigg **Route Number** 1003

Description

Reasons The majority of this path will only be accessible if the Rashieknowes Forest is felled. There is currently no way through.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Slamannan & Limerigg **Route Number**

Description 023/1045; 023/1002

Reasons SAPA are at present doing a habitat improvement on the River Avon from Balmitchel Bridge to above Slamannan which could take another 2 or 3 years. I would hope your plans would not have any adverse affect on our project as we do not own any of the land so we have to go with what landowners decide.

We have stiles on all fences from Balmitchel to Slamannan and some people use these.

Rep. Comments

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Various foreshore routes **Route Number**

Description Following gaps that could be itemised as developmental next steps rather than formalised in the core paths plan:
Kinneil Kerse
Skinflats - immediately west of R Carron
West of the Kincardine bridge
Foreshore paths east of Blackness

Reasons

Rep. Comments Particularly interested in shore paths and how further development of these could join up the Forth Sustrans paths

Representation Type Representation **Community Council** Whitecross **Route Number** 901

Description Path west of Glenavon House - quarry

Reasons On entrance gate there is a notice about making this path a core path and a continuation of the River Avon Heritage Trail which would be good if a route round the quarry could be negotiated.

Rep. Comments Walkers could also enjoy some local circular walks using this route if only a path could be found round the quarry. I wonder if perhaps Falkirk Council can help find a solution to this path obstacle.