

The background of the slide features the Falkirk Council Coat of Arms. It is a shield divided into four quarters. The top-left quarter shows a castle tower. The top-right quarter shows a stag's head with antlers. The bottom-left quarter shows a sailing ship on water. The bottom-right quarter shows a bird with spread wings. Above the shield is a crown with four fleurs-de-lis. Below the shield is a ribbon with the motto 'AINE FOR A'.

Agenda Item 4

Annual Audit Plan for Falkirk Council Pension Fund

Falkirk Council

Subject: Annual Audit Plan for Falkirk Council Pension Fund

Meeting: Joint Meeting of Pensions Committee and Pension Board

Date: 21 March 2019

Submitted by: Director of Corporate and Housing Services

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to bring the 2018/19 External Audit Pension Fund Audit Plan to the attention of the Committee and Board.

2. Recommendation

(1) Committee and Board are asked to note the issuing of the Annual Audit Plan by Ernst and Young (EY) in line with the requirements for the audit of the 2018/19 Pension Fund annual report and accounts.

3. Annual Audit Plan - 2018/19

- 3.1 Ernst and Young were appointed as auditors to Falkirk Council for a five year term starting with the 2016/17 financial year. They have now submitted their formal plan for the 2018/19 audit and a copy is attached as an appendix to this report.
- 3.2 The key milestones of the accounts and audit process are as follows:

| | |
|-----------|--|
| 17/6/2019 | Latest date for Public Inspection Notice |
| 27/6/2019 | Consideration of Unaudited Accounts by Committee |
| 30/6/2019 | Latest date for submission of Unaudited Accounts |
| 26/9/2019 | Consideration of Audited Accounts by Committee |
| 30/9/2019 | Latest date for submission of Audited Financial Statements |
| 30/9/2019 | Latest date for issue of ISA 260 and Annual Audit Report |

- 3.3 In addition to providing an opinion on the financial statements, the audit will review Fund activities in the context of financial management, financial sustainability, governance and transparency and value for money – the four key elements identified by the Accounts Commission and Audit Scotland in the UK Code of Audit Practice.

- 3.4 The total audit fee for the 2018/19 audit is £24,360 (£20,000 in 2017/18). EY advise that the increase is reflective of the range of work now being carried out and to align the fee with charges levied on pension funds of a similar size to Falkirk.
- 3.5 It is anticipated that the ISA260 ("Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance"); the Letter of Representation (from the Chief Finance Officer to External Auditor); and the Annual Audit Report will all form part of the papers for the joint meeting of Committee and Board on 26 September 2019.
- 3.6 Colleagues from EY will be in attendance at the joint meeting and will be able to provide further insight into this year's audit exercise.

4. Previous Audit Recommendations

- 4.1 The 2017/18 Audit Report made two recommendations.
1. Steps should be taken to improve the timeliness and quality of employer data returns at year end
 2. Business Continuity and Conflicts of Interest documentation should be completed
- 4.2 In relation to (1) above, the Pensions team held a workshop in November to highlight to employers the importance of timely and accurate year end data being submitted. A spokesperson for the Pensions Regulator delivered a presentation at the event to underline the message. A follow up meeting has been held with several employers unable to attend the November event. A detailed email outlining the timescales and requirements for the 2018/19 data return has been despatched recently to employers.
- 4.3 In relation to (2) above, a Business Continuity document for the Fund was presented to and agreed by the Pensions Committee and Board at the joint meeting in December. A Conflicts of Interest policy has been agreed for the Fund and declaration of interest documentation (for other than Falkirk Council elected members) is in the process of being circulated.

5. Internal Audit

- 5.1 The EY Pension Fund audit will be supported by Internal Audit work undertaken during 2018/19.
- 5.2 Internal Audit's findings on its 2018/19 work will be presented to the joint meeting of the Committee and Board on 21 June 2018 together with its proposed Audit Plan for the Fund for 2019/20.

6. Implications

Financial

- 6.1 There are no financial implications arising from the report.

Resources

- 6.2 There are no additional administrative resources associated with the report recommendation.

Legal

- 6.3 There is a statutory requirement for the Fund to produce its own annual report and accounts separate from those of Falkirk Council and for these to be separately audited.

Risk

- 6.4 Failure to complete the audit would result in the Fund being in breach of its statutory duties and would undermine the confidence of stakeholders in the sound financial management of the Fund.

Equalities

- 6.5 There are no equality issues arising from this report.

Sustainability/Environmental Impact

- 6.6 There are no sustainability/environmental issues arising from this report.

Director of Corporate & Housing Services

Author:

Alastair McGirr, Pensions Manager
01324 506333 alastair.mcgirr@falkirk.gov.uk

Date: 4 March 2019

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Ernst and Young Annual Audit Plan

List of Background Papers:

None

Falkirk Council Pension Fund

Year ending 31 March 2019

Annual Audit Plan

Pensions Committee and Board – 21 March 2019



Building a better
working world

Public sector audit framework

Pages 3-5

The Code of Audit Practice (the Code) <http://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/report/code-of-audit-practice-2016> sets out the responsibilities of audited bodies, in accordance with statute and other relevant guidance, in respect of the preparation of financial statements.

We issue our audit opinion on the 'truth and fairness' of the Pension Fund's financial statements in accordance with management's timetable, and by 30 September 2019.

The nature of public sector audit means that the focus of audit work is broader than just the financial statements of the Pension Fund. We consider the four dimensions of public sector audit as set-out jointly by the Accounts Commission and the Auditor General for Scotland. Judgements in respect of Best Value are formed from the findings in respect of each of the four dimensions.

Understanding the Pension Fund

Pages 6-7

Investment management continues to be a key strategic focus, including both monitoring externally managed funds and the strategic investment decisions being made by the Fund. The Fund's funding policy is to achieve a fully funded status over a 20 year period whilst keeping contribution rates as constant as possible and balancing the competing aims of prudence and affordability.

Financial statements audit

Pages 8-14

| Risk / area of focus | Risk identified | Change from PY | Details |
|--|------------------|--|---|
| Misstatements due to fraud or error | Fraud risk | No change in risk or focus | As identified in ISA 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that would otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We have determined that the way management could be most likely to override controls is by manipulating the value of the year-end investment asset balances posted in totality to the accounts at year-end. |
| Valuation of complex investment (unquoted) | Significant risk | Identified as a separate significant risk. No change in the area of focus. | Valuation of complex investments was incorporated into our assessment of risk of fraud and error in the prior year audit. We have refined our approach in the current year and have identified this as a separate significant risk given the complexity around valuations in this area. |

Materiality

Net Assets per 2017/18 financial statements
£2.3 billion

| | |
|--|--|
| Planning materiality £45.7m | Planning materiality (PM) – the amount over which we anticipate misstatements would influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements. We have determined this to be £45.7 million (2017/18: £44.0 million), representing 2% of net assets reported in the 2017/18 financial statements. |
| Tolerable Error £22.85m | Tolerable error (TE) – materiality at an individual account balance, which is set so as to reduce to an acceptably low level that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds PM. We have set this at 50% of PM, being £22.85 million (2017/18: £22.0 million). Where appropriate we also identify areas where misstatement at a lower level than our standard materiality might influence the reader of the financial statements, and audit to a lower materiality in these cases. |
| Summary of Audit Differences £250,000 | Summary of Audit Differences (SAD) Nominal amount – the amount below which misstatements whether individually or accumulated with other misstatements, would not have a material effect on the financial statements. In line with the Code of Audit Practice we will report all adjusted and unadjusted differences more than £250,000. |

Financial Statements audit (continued)

Pages 8-14

Audit approach

Management, through the Chief Finance Officer and Pensions Manager has primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud. We design appropriate audit procedures in response to identified fraud risk factors, for the purpose of detecting material misstatements.

We will engage with management to consider their assessment and incorporation of changes to the 2018/19 Accounting Code. There are no significant changes to the 2018/19 Accounting Code that would be applicable for the Fund.

We obtain an understanding of your internal control arrangements, both as they apply across the Pension Fund, but also in terms of key processes. We consider the design and implementation of key controls where appropriate. We liaise with internal audit to ensure our approach takes account of any risks identified and findings reported in the course of their work.

Wider scope audit and other work

Pages 15-16

The wider scope audit as set out in the Code plays a key role in the public sector audit framework in Scotland. We undertake work in respect of the four audit dimensions annually. At this time, we have not identified any wider scope Audit focus areas impacting on the Pension Fund for 2018/19. We will continue to review this throughout the audit cycle.

Key to the assessment and judgement in the wider scope audit areas is the quality of the Pension Committee and Board's arrangements for self-evaluation to be able to demonstrate the quality and effectiveness of its arrangements.

A key objective of our audit reporting is to add value by supporting the improvement of the use of public money. We aim to achieve this through sharing our insights from our audit work, our observations around where the Fund employs best practice and where processes can be improved. We use these insights to form our audit recommendations to support the Fund in improving its practices around financial management and control, as well as around key aspects of the wider scope dimensions of audit. These are highlighted throughout the report together with our judgements and conclusions regarding arrangements.

Team, fees and deliverables

Pages 17-19

Hassan Rohimun is responsible for the quality of the audit and for signing the financial statements audit opinion. He is supported by Rob Jones and Olga Potapova, who will manage the financial statements audit and be the key day-to-day contact for the Pensions Manager and Pensions Accountant.

We have agreed an auditor remuneration fee element of £21,000. This results in a total fee, inclusive of pooled costs, central performance audit and central support costs of £24,360 (2017/18: £20,000).

Appendices

Pages 20-24

We confirm our independence to act as your external auditor. We provide you with details of the key communications we are required to provide you with in accordance with Auditing Standards.

About this report

This report has been prepared in accordance with Terms of Appointment Letter from Audit Scotland dated 31 May 2016 through which the Accounts Commission has appointed us as external auditor of Falkirk Council Pension Fund (the Pension Fund) for financial years 2016/17 to 2020/21. We undertake our audit in accordance with the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 and our responsibilities as set out within Audit Scotland's Code of Audit Practice (the Code), issued on 26 May 2016.

This report is for the benefit of the Pension Fund and is made available to the Accounts Commission, the Controller of Audit and Audit Scotland (together the Recipients). This report has not been designed to be of benefit to anyone except the Recipients. In preparing this report we have not taken into account the interests, needs or circumstances of anyone apart from the Recipients, even though we may have been aware that others might read this report.

Any party other than the Recipients that obtains access to this report or a copy (under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, through a Recipient's Publication Scheme or otherwise) and chooses to rely on this report (or any part of it) does so at its own risk. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Ernst & Young LLP does not assume any responsibility and will not accept any liability in respect of this report to any party other than the Recipients.

Complaints

If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with Stephen Reid who is our partner responsible for services under appointment by Audit Scotland, telephone 0131 777 2839, email sreid2@uk.ey.com. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, or with how your complaint has been handled, you can refer the matter to Diane McGiffen, Audit Scotland, 4th Floor, 102 West Port, Edinburgh, EH3 9DN. Alternatively you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

1. Public sector audit framework

In accordance with the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, the Accounts Commission appointed EY as Falkirk Council Pension Fund's (The Pension Fund) external auditor for the five year period 2016/17 to 2020/21.

We undertake our audit in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice (the Code), issued by Audit Scotland in May 2016; relevant Auditing Standards and applicable Practice Notes issued by the Auditing Practices Board; relevant legislation; and other guidance issued by Audit Scotland.

This Annual Audit Plan, prepared for the benefit of Pension Fund management and the Pensions Committee and Board, sets out our proposed approach for the audit of the Pension Fund financial statements for the financial year ending 31 March 2019.

Financial statements audit

The Administering Authority's responsibilities

Falkirk Council, as the Administering Authority is ultimately responsible for the preparation of financial statements which give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Pension Fund and of the amount and disposition of its assets and liabilities, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and relevant legislation. The Code also sets out the Administering Authority's responsibilities for maintaining accounting records and supporting working papers that have been prepared to an acceptable professional standard. In practice the Administering Authority has delegated this responsibility to the Pensions Committee and secured the Chief Finance Officer of Falkirk Council as the proper officer for responsibility of the Pension Fund's financial affairs.

The Pensions Committee is also required to prepare and publish, along with the financial statements, an annual governance statement, governance and compliance statements and management commentary that are consistent with the disclosures within the financial statements. Management, with the oversight of the Pensions Committee, should ensure communication of relevant information to users about the Pension Fund and its financial performance.

Our responsibilities

We are responsible for conducting an audit of the financial statements of the Pension Fund. We will provide an opinion on the financial statements as to whether:

- ▶ they give a true and fair view of the transactions of the Pension Fund during the year ended 31 March 2019 and of the amount and disposition at that date of its assets and liabilities.
- ▶ they have been properly prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the EU and interpreted by the 2018/19 Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom and properly prepared in accordance with requirements of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, The Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) regulations 2014 and the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003.

We also review and report on the consistency of the other information in the Annual Report and Accounts prepared and published by the Pension Fund along with its financial statements.

The Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014 (the Regulations)

In accordance with the Regulations 2014, the signed unaudited annual financial statements should be submitted to the external auditor no later than 30 June 2019. Furthermore, the unaudited annual financial statements should be considered by an appropriate committee of the Pension Fund by 31 August 2019.

The Regulations also set out the requirements for approval of the audited financial statements. The Pension Fund, or relevant committee, is required to approve the audited annual financial statements for signature no later than 30 September 2019. In making their consideration, the Regulations require elected members to have regard to any report made, or advice provided by the proper office of the Pension Fund and the auditor. To support the Pensions Committee in discharging these responsibilities, we will report to the Committee the findings of our audit in our Annual Audit Report which will be presented to the September Pension Committee and Board's meeting.

Key messages

The Code of Audit Practice (the Code) <http://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/report/code-of-audit-practice-2016> sets out the responsibilities of audited bodies, in accordance with statute and other relevant guidance, in respect of the preparation of financial statements.

We issue our audit opinion on the 'truth and fairness' of the Pension Fund's financial statements in accordance with management's timetable, and by 30 September 2019.

1. Public Sector Audit Framework (continued)

Together the Accounts Commission and the Auditor General for Scotland agreed the four dimensions set out in the Code which comprise the wider scope audit for public sector in Scotland. These are:

- financial management;
- financial sustainability;
- governance and transparency; and
- value for money.

In local government, audit work over the four dimensions leads to an overall assessment of Best Value.

Wider scope audit


The Pensions Committee's responsibilities

The Code sets out the broader responsibilities of the Pensions Committee in respect of ensuring proper financial stewardship of public funds. The Pensions Committee should establish proper arrangements:

- ▶ For the proper conduct of its affairs, including the legality of activities and transactions and for monitoring the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.
- ▶ For the prevention and detection of fraud, error and irregularities, bribery and corruption and also to ensure that their affairs are managed in accordance with proper standards of conduct.
- ▶ To ensure that their financial position is soundly based having regard to, for example, balances and reserves including strategies about levels held and their future use and how they plan to deal with uncertainty in the medium and longer term.
- ▶ For securing Best Value in accordance with the statutory duty on local authority bodies.

Our responsibilities

Our responsibilities extend beyond the audit of the financial statements. The Code requires auditors to provide judgements and conclusions on the four dimensions of wider-scope public audit. Our audit work over the wider scope audit dimensions compliments our financial statements audit. We have outlined our work around the wider scope audit dimensions in more detail further in this report.

|  Financial management |  Financial sustainability |  Governance and transparency |  Value for money |
|---|--|---|--|
| We conclude on the effectiveness of financial management arrangements. This includes considering whether there is sufficient financial capacity and resources, sound budgetary processes and whether the control environment and internal controls are operating effectively. | We consider the medium and longer term outlook to determine if planning is effective to support service delivery. This will focus on the arrangements to develop viable and sustainable financial plans. | We review the adequacy of governance arrangements. In particular, we consider and report on; whether these are appropriate and operating effectively and that there is effective scrutiny, challenge and transparency on decision-making. | We consider whether value for money can be demonstrated in the use of resources. This includes the extent to which there is an alignment between spend, outputs and outcomes delivered and that there is a clear focus on improvement. |

Key messages

We report on the four dimensions of public sector audit as set-out jointly by the Accounts Commission and the Auditor General for Scotland.

2. Understanding the Pension Fund

2. Understanding the Pension Fund

In accordance with the principles of the Code, our audit work is designed to be proportionate and risk based. It is based on an understanding of the strategic environment in which the Pension Fund operates.

Through our knowledge and experience, plus our assessment of how the wider environment impacts on individual local authorities and pension schemes, we tailor our approach to risk assessment.

Through discussion with the Pensions Manager, review of Pensions Committee and Pension Board meeting minutes and papers, and other investment reports, we develop an understanding of your priorities and the specific challenges which the Pension Fund faces.

Understanding the Pension Fund's priorities

The responsibility for the governance of the Pension Fund sits with the Pensions Committee as delegated by Falkirk Council, the Administering Authority. The Pensions Committee is supported by a Pensions Board to ensure that decisions are made with the terms of the Fund rules and with good practice. In addition to Fund employers, key partners include local authorities, actuaries, banks, government agencies, fund managers, legal advisers, communications specialists, corporate governance and litigation specialists, and various other financial institutions.

The majority of the Fund's activities are managed in-house by the Council's Pensions Section. Investment management continues to be a key strategic focus, including both monitoring externally managed funds and the strategic investment decisions being made by the Fund. The fund continues to pursue joint investment ventures with one other local authority funds. It is also conscious of meeting the developing fiduciary duties expected from local authorities in its investments. The Fund achieved a return of 3.1% during 2017/18 which was 0.6% above the Fund benchmark of 2.5%.

A review of investment strategy has taken place during 2018/19 following an asset liability modelling exercise. The strategic asset allocation has remained as previous but new categories of assets, known as policy groups, have been established to facilitate high level analysis, performance monitoring and reporting. The policy groups are Equities, Other Real Assets, Gilts, Non Gilt Debt and Cash. The Pensions Committee is responsible for setting investment strategy in terms of the five policy groups and the Chief Finance Officer is responsible for implementing the strategy taking proper advice from the Joint Investment Strategy Panel.

The Fund's funding policy is to achieve a fully funded status over a 20 year period whilst keeping contribution rates as constant as possible. The Fund's longer term strategy is to reduce its exposure to more volatile assets. The Funding Strategy Statement was last updated in March 2018.

Other Government initiatives such as Auto-Enrolment, Freedom and Choice the new Single State Pension continue to impact and alter the responsibilities of Pensions sector in Scotland, as well as more generally the financial climate in which local authorities are operating, including high numbers of retirements and retirement estimates, volatility around asset investments and future forecasts, possible ramifications of the McCleod court appeal judgement, and possible future changes around the structure of LGPS schemes in Scotland. This is within the context of increased regulator focus across the sector.

Key messages

Investment management continues to be a key strategic focus, including both monitoring externally managed funds and the strategic investment decisions being made by the Fund. The Fund's funding policy is to achieve a fully funded status over a 20 year period whilst keeping contribution rates as constant as possible and balancing the competing aims of prudence and affordability.

3. Financial statements audit

3. Financial statements audit



We provide an opinion on the financial statements as to whether they give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the fund during the year and of the amount and disposition of the assets and liabilities at the reporting date, and whether they have been properly prepared in accordance with applicable law and the relevant financial reporting framework. We also review and report on the consistency of the other information prepared and published by the Pension Fund along with its financial statements.

We undertake our financial statements audit work in accordance with the four phases of EY's Global Audit Methodology: *Planning; Identification and assessment of risk; Design and execution of our response to those risks; and Conclude and communicate.*

Audit opinion

We are responsible for conducting an audit of the financial statements of the Pension Fund. We will provide an opinion on the financial statements as to:

- whether they give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Pension Fund as at 31 March 2019 and its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- whether they have been properly prepared in accordance with the 2018/19 Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom.

We also review and report on the consistency of the other information prepared and published by the Pension Fund along with its financial statements.

Audit approach

We determine which accounts, disclosures and relevant assertions could contain risks of material misstatement. Our audit involves:

- ▶ Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
- ▶ Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Pension Fund's internal controls.
- ▶ Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- ▶ Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting.
- ▶ Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- ▶ Obtaining sufficient audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entity or business activities within the Pension Fund to express an opinion on the financial statements. Reading other information contained in the financial statements, and reporting to the Pensions Committee and Board whether it is materially consistent with our understanding and the financial statements.
- ▶ Maintaining auditor independence.
- ▶ Substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts. For 2018/19 we plan to follow a substantive approach to the audit as we have concluded this is the most efficient way to obtain the level of audit assurance required to conclude that the financial statements are not materially misstated.
- ▶ Engaging with the auditors for applicable scheme member bodies in line with the protocols laid out by Audit Scotland for IAS 19 assurance both for Fund and local government body auditors.

3. Financial statements audit (continued)



We undertake our financial statements audit work in accordance with the four phases of EY's Global Audit Methodology: *Planning; Identification and assessment of risk; Design and execution of our response to those risks; and Conclude and communicate.*

Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the accounts are free from material error, in accordance with ISA (UK) 320 we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, in light of the surrounding circumstances, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation of it requires professional judgement and necessarily takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implicit in the definition. We would be happy to discuss with you your expectations regarding our detection of misstatements in the financial statements. We will consider the need to update our planning materiality when the accounts at 31 March 2019 are available.

**Net Assets
per 2017/18
financial
statements
£2.3 billion**

**Planning
materiality
£45.7 m**

Planning materiality (PM) – the amount over which we anticipate misstatements would influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements. We have determined this to be £45.7 million (2017/18: £44.0 million), representing 2% of net assets reported in the 2017/18 financial statements.

**Tolerable
Error
£22.85 m**

Tolerable error (TE) – materiality at an individual account balance, which is set so as to reduce to an acceptably low level that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds PM. We have set this at 50% of PM, being £22.85 million (2017/18: £22.0 million), factoring the existence of material prior year audit differences into our risk assessment.

**Summary of
Audit
Differences
£250,000**

Summary of Audit Differences (SAD) Nominal amount – the amount below which misstatements whether individually or accumulated with other misstatements, would not have a material effect on the financial statements. In line with the Code of Audit Practice we will report all adjusted and unadjusted differences more than £250,000.

Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition. Factors which we consider include the perspectives and expectations of users of the financial statements as well as our risk assessment as to the likelihood of material misstatements arising in the financial statements.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At the end of the audit we will form, and report to you, our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

We also identified areas where misstatement at a lower level than materiality might influence the reader of the financial statements and developed a specific audit strategy for them. They include:

- Disclosures around key management personnel
- Related party transactions – we will consider the nature of the transactions individually.

Key messages

Planning Materiality for the audit has been determined at £45.7 million, representing 2.0% of net assets reported with the prior year financial statements. Tolerable Error is set at £22.85 million. In line with the Code we will report any audit differences identified above £250,000.

3. Financial statements audit (continued)



We have set out the significant risks (including fraud risks) identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

Financial statement risks

Auditing standards require us to consider whether any of the risks identified are 'significant' risks to our audit of the Pension Fund. Financial statement significant risks are defined as those with a higher likelihood of occurrence and, if they were to occur, a higher magnitude of impact which could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements.

We are required to perform specific procedures over those risks. These include the identification and assessment of the design and implementation of key controls designed to address the risks plus performance of additional substantive procedures in response to the specific risk. We are also required to specifically highlight these significant risks to 'those charged with governance' i.e. the Pensions Committee and Board. Due to the nature of the pension fund we rebut the presumed risk around revenue expenditure for income and expenditure.

| Significant risks (including fraud risks) | Our audit approach |
|--|--|
| Misstatements due to fraud or error | |
| <p>As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>We have determined that the way management could be most likely to override controls is by manipulating the value of the year-end investment asset balances posted in totality to the accounts at year-end.</p> | <p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Testing the appropriateness of manual journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements.▶ Reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias, including management's retrospective consideration of prior year estimates.▶ Evaluating the business rationale for any significant unusual transactions.▶ Obtaining third party confirmations of the Fund's externally held investment balances at the Pension Fund's year end 31 March 2019 from both custodians and investment managers. We will investigate any differences in valuation between these sources and agree the final agreed balance for investments in the accounts. |

Key messages

In line with auditing standards we identify fraud risk in respect of the risk misstatements due to fraud or errors and significant risk in relation to the valuation of complex investments as outlined at the next page. At this stage of our audit planning, we have not identified any other significant financial statement risks. We will confirm our updated risk assessment as part of our Annual Audit Report.

3. Financial statements audit (continued)



We have set out the significant risks (including fraud risks) identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

| Significant risks (including fraud risks) | Our audit approach |
|---|--|
| Valuation of complex investments <p>Valuation of complex investments has been considered as part of our consideration of the fraud risk around management override in the prior year. In line with auditing standards, we have refined our approach for the 2018/19 financial year audit and have now separately identified this as a significant risk, to reflect the complexity of this account and expectations around audit differences based on our prior year audit experience.</p> <p>As of 31 March 2018, the Fund held investments of £2.3 billion, of which around 52% (£1.2 billion) were classified as Level 2 and Level 3 investments, meaning there are not publicly quoted prices in place for these types of investments in an active market. We have therefore assigned a significant risk to the valuation of Private Equity and Infrastructure funds, Managed Property Funds and Pooled Investments. Around 50% of the pooled investments are in listed equities, our audit focus around this risk is focused on the remaining harder to value assets included in pooled investments.</p> | <p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Review relevant controls' reports for qualifications or exceptions that may affect the audit risk and scope, and obtain bridging letters for the period between report dates and financial statements date where they differ.▶ For each Fund Manager we will obtain the most up to date Financial Statements for each investment / fund, and the capital statement for the date of the Financial Statements along with the capital statement as of 31 March 2019. We will review the audit opinions for the fund to identify any possible issues with the valuation in the year and recalculate the Fund's share of the investment based on its percentage ownership.▶ Review the basis of valuation for unquoted investments to be satisfied that it is in line with the accounting policy and CIPFA requirements. |

Key messages

In line with auditing standards we identify significant risk in respect of valuation of complex investments. At this stage of our audit planning, we have not identified any other significant financial statement risks. We will confirm our updated risk assessment as part of our Annual Audit Report.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. We assess the fraud risk factors affecting the audit of the financial statements.

We remain aware of changes to the Accounting Code of Practice which impact on the preparation of financial statements. Our aim is to discuss such changes with the Pensions Manager and Pensions Accountant in advance, to assist in the smooth conduct of the audit.

Responsibilities in respect of fraud and error

Management, through the Chief Finance Officer and Pensions Manager, has primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- ▶ Identifying fraud risks during the planning stages.
- ▶ Enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks.
- ▶ Understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud.
- ▶ Consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud.
- ▶ Determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud.
- ▶ Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified risks.

Changes to the Accounting Code of Practice in 2018/19

New example LGPS Fund Accounts have been issued by CIPFA for 2018/19. While no significant changes to the Accounting Code for 2018/19 are anticipated, we will engage with management to consider their assessment and incorporation of changes to the Code.

The main change for 2018/19 is the adoption of the International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 9, which in practice will likely to have limited impact for the Pension funds. The 2018/19 example accounts also reflect the introduction of the regional assets pool and relevant disclosures required in this context. Falkirk Council Pension Fund is not part of any assets pool and therefore these disclosure requirements are unlikely to materially impact the financial statements. We will continue to agree key disclosure and presentational requirements with management in advance of the yearend audit and review the financial statements against the CIPFA disclosure checklist for local government accounts.

The Pensions Regulator

We have a duty to report to the Pensions Regulator if we become aware that breaches of the regulations have occurred. It is our normal practice to advise those charged with governance of any such reports before making them. Those charged with governance should make us aware of any reports they or other professional advisers have made to the Pensions Regulator.

Key messages

Management, through the Chief Finance Officer and Pensions Manager has primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud. We design appropriate audit procedures in response to identified fraud risk factors, for the purpose of detecting material misstatements.

There are currently no significant changes to the Accounting Code for 2018/19 that will impact Pension Fund accounts.

3. Financial statements audit (continued)



Our approach is designed to develop an audit strategy that is responsive to the Pension Fund's risks of material misstatement for transactions and account balances in the financial statements.

In addition, we plan and perform certain general audit procedures on every audit to address areas that are not directly related to financial statement account assertions.

Examples of such procedures include aspects of audit work which are not directly related to the financial statements, such as compliance with applicable laws and regulations, litigation and claims and related parties.

Overview of audit approach

Our audit approach is risk based in nature. We determine which accounts, disclosures and relevant assertions could contain risks of material misstatement based on our understanding of the Pension Fund's operations, understanding of entity-level controls and our determination of planning materiality.

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Council's business and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the specialist to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.
- Management may use their own specialists in the preparation of the financial statements.

Data analytics

Wherever possible we seek to use our bespoke computer-based analytics analysers to throughout the audit engagement. These analysers enable us to capture whole populations of the Fund's financial data, providing the following enhancements to the audit:

- ▶ Helping to identify specific exceptions and anomalies in the financial data to direct more traditional substantive audit testing.
- ▶ Giving greater likelihood of identifying adjusting items than random sampling techniques through more targeted direction of audit procedures.

Given the nature of the Pension Fund financial statements our main audit procedures are around the valuation of externally held investments, which tend to rely on obtaining and reviewing external confirmations rather than data analysis, however we will continue to review and refine our audit approach where possible.

Internal audit

While we do not intend to directly rely on internal audit, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work, including the discussion of audit findings at the Pensions Committee and Board and management's response to findings.

Key messages

We obtain an understanding of your internal control arrangements, both as they apply across the Pension Fund, but also in terms of key processes. We consider the design and implementation of key controls where appropriate. In addition where appropriate, we plan to apply data analytics to capture and analyse whole populations of financial data.

We liaise with internal audit to ensure our approach takes account of risks identified and findings reported in the course of their work.

4. Wider scope audit and other work

4. Wider scope audit framework

Together the Accounts Commission and the Auditor General for Scotland agreed the four dimensions set out in the Code which comprise the wider-scope audit for public sector in Scotland. These are: financial sustainability, financial management, governance and transparency, and value for money.

Risk Assessment

The Code sets out an expectation that 'significant' risks identified through our planning process that relate to the wider scope dimensions will be communicated with you. In undertaking our risk assessment in respect of the wider scope audit areas, we distinguish between the definition of 'significant risks' which apply to the audit of the financial statements, by referring in our report to 'Wider Scope Audit Focus Areas'. The focus areas represent where we have identified that we will direct most of our audit effort in the year.

We undertake work in respect of the four wider scope audit dimensions, however we have not identified an audit focus areas at this stage. Our five-year appointment will provide the opportunity to revisit our risk assessment throughout our engagement, and we consider any updated risks through the annual audit process as well. Key to the assessment and judgement in the wider scope audit areas is the quality of the Pension Committee and Board's arrangements for self-evaluation to be able to demonstrate the quality and effectiveness of its arrangements.

Prior year audit recommendations

We made a number of recommendations in our 2017/18 Annual Audit Report presented to the Pension Committee and Board, which were accepted by management with actions agreed. We will report on the status of these recommendation and any new audit findings in our 2018/19 Annual Audit Report.

- ▶ **Financial sustainability** – Ensuring the long term sustainability of the Fund is of key strategic importance. This includes ensuring contributions, investment income and the ability to liquidate investments as required are sufficient to cover benefits over the short term and the fund as a whole can meet its estimated liabilities as they crystallise over the longer term. We will consider management's arrangements for securing long term financial sustainability for the Fund.

There a number of external factors which have the potential to materially impact management's arrangements and plans around financial sustainability going forward:

- Significant uncertainty remains around the UK's withdrawal from the European Union. With a possible withdrawal date of 29th March, this has the potential to materially impact the yearend valuation of investments held by the Fund, and will require consideration by management in advance and following the withdrawal date. We will assess management's preparedness for the possible impact of Brexit throughout our audit. While not directly impacting the financial statements of the Fund at the year end, the potential impact on key actuarial assumptions could materially impact the estimates around future liabilities, requiring revised IAS 19 reports being required to reflect the possible changes to key assumptions such as future discount rate.
- A consultation is currently underway by Scottish Government around the structure of Scottish LGPS Schemes going forward. This includes review of the potential for future asset pooling or merger between the existing 11 funds into one or more funds. The findings of this review are expected by late summer.
- In 2008/09 Guaranteed Minimum Pension ("GMP") related overpayments across the public service schemes were identified and Scottish Ministers chose to allow the pension in payment to remain unadjusted for affected pensioners in the Police, Firefighter and Local Government Pension schemes. Scottish Ministers have decided that a similar approach should be taken for GMP related overpayments that arise from the current GMP reconciliation exercise, which concludes in December 2018. This means that pensions currently in payment will remain unadjusted, going forward, for affected pensioners in the Police, Firefighter and Local Government Pension schemes.
- ▶ **Financial management** - With the financial challenges facing public sector bodies and the Fund employers, it is essential that the Pension Fund has robust financial management arrangements to ensure that it can deliver on its financial and regulatory responsibilities. We will consider and report on the Fund's arrangements in respect of financial monitoring, review and reporting through the year and controlling its income and expenditure. In particular we will follow up on our recommendation from 2018 around the timeliness and quality of employer returns to the Fund at yearend, and management's actions in response to ongoing areas of delay.

Key messages

The wider scope audit as set out in the Code plays a key role in the public sector audit framework in Scotland. We undertake work in respect of the four audit dimensions annually. At this time, we have not identified any Wider Scope Audit Focus Areas impacting on the Pension Fund for 2018/19.

Key to the assessment and judgement in the wider scope audit areas is the quality of the Pension Committee and Board's arrangements for self-evaluation to be able to demonstrate the quality and effectiveness of its arrangements.

4. Wider scope audit framework (cont.)



Together the Accounts Commission and the Auditor General for Scotland agreed the four dimensions set out in the Code which comprise the wider-scope audit for public sector in Scotland. These are: financial sustainability, financial management, governance and transparency, and value for money.

- ▶ **Governance and transparency** - The Pensions Committee and Board, and Chief Finance Officer, have delegated authority for establishing robust governance arrangements in respect of the Pension Fund. This includes ensuring effective systems of internal control, including arrangements to safeguard public money, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We will consider the material arrangements in respect of the Fund's arrangements around governance and transparency, in particular:
 - ▶ How key policies and procedures in place at the fund are reviewed and approved, including Standing orders and financial regulations;
 - ▶ Arrangements in place for ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements, addressing requests from the regulator and reporting as identified and applicable.
 - ▶ Arrangements around and responsiveness to internal and external audit recommendations to ensure they are implemented in a timely manner.
 - ▶ The openness and transparency of the Fund's arrangements.
- ▶ **Value for Money** – this dimension is focused on how the Fund effectively utilises its resources and the arrangements in place to ensure its performance and use of public funds is as robust as possible over the short, medium and longer term. In particular we will also consider if the Fund has an established practice of tendering for services at set intervals.

5. Team, fees and deliverables

6. Team, fees and deliverables



We identify an audit team with the relevant skills and experience. In the case of the Pension Fund, we identify a team with a mix of experience between pension fund accounting and the local government sector.

The audit fee is determined in line with Audit Scotland's fee setting arrangements, set out in recent communications to all audited bodies in line with their publication on '*Our Approach to setting audit fees*' (http://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/uploads/docs/um/audit_fee_approach.pdf).

Audit team

The engagement team is led by Hassan Rohimun, who has experience in auditing a number of public sector pension schemes. He is supported by Rob Jones, senior manager responsible for the financial statements audit. Olga Potapova will be the key day-to-day contact for the Pensions Manager and Pensions Accountant. Hassan, Rob and Olga are all returning to the team from the prior year audit.

| Individual | Contact details |
|----------------|--|
| Hassan Rohimun | T: 07971 532541 E: hrohimun@uk.ey.com |
| Rob Jones | T: 0141 226 7396 E: rjones9@uk.ey.com |
| Olga Potapova | T: 0131 777 2426 E: opotapova@uk.ey.com |

2018/19 Audit fee

The expected fee for each body, set by Audit Scotland, assumes that it has sound governance arrangements in place and operating effectively throughout the year, prepares comprehensive and accurate draft financial statements and meets the agreed timetable for the audit. It also assumes there is no major change in respect of the scope of work in the year.

| Expected Fee Element | 2018/19 | 2017/18 |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Auditor remuneration | £21,000 | 17,360 |
| Pooled costs | £2,040 | 1,540 |
| Audit support costs | £1,320 | 1,110 |
| Total expected fee | £24,360 | £20,000 |

The auditor remuneration element of the fee can be increased by up to 10% dependent on circumstances in the audited body. For 2018/19, we have agreed the auditor remuneration element of £21,000, being in line with the expected auditor remuneration set by Audit Scotland. The increase in remuneration year on year reflects a sector review of all pension fund audit remuneration by Audit Scotland.

Key messages

Hassan Rohimun is responsible for the quality of the audit and for signing the financial statements. He is supported by Rob Jones and Olga Potapova, who will manage the financial statements audit and be the key day-to-day contact for the Pensions Manager and Pensions Accountant.

We have agreed an auditor remuneration fee element of £21,000. This results in a total fee, inclusive of pooled costs, central performance audit and central support costs, of £24,360 (2016/17: £20,000).

6. Team, fees and deliverables (continued)



We deliver our audit in accordance with the timeline set by the Pension Fund, in accordance with guidance from Audit Scotland. Below is a timetable showing the key stages of the audit and the deliverables we have agreed to provide to you through the audit cycle in 2018/19.

Timeline and deliverables

| Audit activity | Deliverables |
|---|---|
| Planning, identification and assessment of risks: October 2018 – April 2019 | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Audit Planning meeting with Pensions Manager and Pensions Accountant ▶ Review of Pensions Committee and Pensions Board papers and documentation ▶ Onsite fieldwork, documentation and walkthrough of key accounting processes ▶ Testing of key financial controls as appropriate | 1. Annual Audit Plan, presented to Pensions Committee (21/03/2019) |
| Design and execute response to risks: July – August 2019 | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Performance of year-end substantive audit fieldwork on unaudited accounts (from June) | 2. Annual Audit Report – to Pension Committee and Board (26/09/2019) |
| Conclude and communicate: September 2019 | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Conclude on results of audit procedures ▶ Audit clearance meeting with Pension Fund management, and report findings to those charged with governance ▶ Issue opinion on the Pension Fund's financial statements | 3. Annual Audit Report – to Pension Committee and Board (26/09/2019) 4. Certify Financial Statements – by 30/09/2019 |

Appendices

A – Auditor Independence

B – Required Communications

The FRC Ethical Standard and ISA (UK) 260 “Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance”, requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear upon our integrity, objectivity and independence. The Ethical Standard, as revised in June 2016, requires that we communicate formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the course of the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communication

| Planning Stage | Final Stage |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by Ernst & Young (EY) including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us; ➤ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality review; ➤ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards; ➤ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence. ➤ Where EY has determined it is appropriate to apply more restrictive independence rules than permitted under the Ethical Standard. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ In order for you to assess the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm and each covered person, we are required to provide a written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that may bear on our integrity, objectivity and independence. This is required to have regard to relationships with the entity, its directors and senior management, its affiliates, and its connected parties and the threats to integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence that these create. We are also required to disclose any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed; ➤ Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto; ➤ Written confirmation that the firm and each covered person is independent and, if applicable, that any non-EY firms used in the group audit or external experts used have confirmed their independence to us; ➤ Written confirmation that all covered persons are independent; ➤ Details of any inconsistencies between FRC Ethical Standard and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent reach of that policy; ➤ Details of any contingent fee arrangements for non-audit services provided by us or our network firms; and ➤ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues. |

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed, analysed in appropriate categories.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight on the following page the significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

Self-interest threats

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Pension Fund.

At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Pension Fund has approved and that are in compliance with Audit Scotland's Terms of Appointment. At the time of writing, no non-audit services have been provided.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Pension Fund. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no self-interest threats at the date of this report.

Self-review threats

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no other self-review threats at the date of this report.

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

Overall Assessment

Overall we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Hassan Rohimun, the Audit Engagement Lead, and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained. Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended June 2018 and can be found here:

<https://www.ey.com/uk/en/about-us/ey-uk-transparency-report-2018>

There are certain additional communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee, which are set out below.

| Required communication | Reference |
|--|---|
| Planning and audit approach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Plan |
| Significant findings from the audit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management Written representations that we are seeking Expected modifications to the audit report Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report to be issued on completion of audit work |
| Misstatements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion, , unless prohibited by law or regulation The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report |
| Fraud <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist A discussion of any other matters related to fraud | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Plan Annual Audit Report (to be issued on completion of audit work) |
| Related parties <p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-disclosure by management Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions Disagreement over disclosures Non-compliance with laws and regulations Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report (to be issued on completion of audit work) |
| External confirmations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management's refusal for us to request confirmations Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report (to be issued on completion of audit work) |

B. Communications (continued)



| Required communication | Reference |
|--|--|
| Internal controls <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report |
| Consideration of laws and regulations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off Enquiry of the Pensions and Board Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit Committee may be aware of | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report |
| Independence <p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The principal threats, and any safeguards adopted and their effectiveness An overall assessment of threats and safeguards Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Plan Annual Audit Report |
| Going concern <p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Report |
| Representations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Results Report (to be issued on completion of audit work) or as occurring if material. |
| Material inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Results Report (to be issued on completion of audit work) or as occurring if material. |
| Fee information and confirmation of additional certification work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Details of the audit fee Summary of additional audit certification work undertaken | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Audit Plan Annual Audit Report |

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

© 2019 Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.
All Rights Reserved.

ey.com