# Agenda Item 6 Strategic Risk Register

### Agenda Item 6



## **Falkirk Integration Joint Board Audit Committee**

25 September 2020 Strategic Risk Register

For Noting

## 1. Executive Summary

- 1.1 This paper provides an update on the IJB's strategic risk register.
- 1.2 There are 11 live risks recorded in the register, 10 are currently considered as high risk and 1 as low risk.
- 1.3 No new risks have been added to the register since the last version presented to the IJB in December 2019. However updates have been included in respect of the Covid-19 pandemic.

#### 2. Recommendations

The Audit Committee is asked to:

- 2.1 Consider the Strategic Risk Register at Appendix 1
- 2.2 note that the Strategic Risk Register was approved by the Integration Joint Board on 4 September 2020.

## 3. Background

- 3.1 Effective risk management is a fundamental aspect of good corporate governance and plays a key role in supporting delivery of the IJB's strategic plan and associated priorities.
- 3.2 The IJB is responsible for implementing a governance framework and system of internal control which is designed to identify, respond to and manage risk. Material risks which cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level are included in and monitored as part of the IJB's strategic risk register.
- 3.3 The strategic risk register is reviewed and updated by the Leadership Group and Audit Committee on a quarterly basis and is presented to the IJB biannually.

## 4. Strategic Risk Register

- 4.1 The strategic risk register was considered by the IJB Leadership Group on 31 July 2020 (virtually due to the temporary suspension of meetings as a result of Covid-19).
- 4.2 Following review by the Leadership Group, there are currently 11 active risks recorded on the register, categorised according to:
  - their impact on delivery of the IJB strategic plan
  - performance oversight and quality issues
  - specific high level risks.
- 4.3 Of the 11 active risks, 10 are currently considered as high risk and 1 as low risk. The table below presents a high level summary of the position and further detail is provided at appendix 1.
- 4.4 No new risks have been added to the register compared to the previous version presented to the IJB in December 2019. However the specific risk relating to "resilience and business continuity" has been updated to include the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic (although in reality it is acknowledged that Covid-19 potentially affects all 11 risks outlined below).

Risk Heading	Lead Officer(s)	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Last Reviewed	Change
Funding and /or demographic pressures	Chief Finance Officer Senior Service Mgr	High	High	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
2. Governance arrangements	Chief Officer	High	Mediu m	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
3. Partnerships	Heads of Integration	High	Low	July 2020	<b>\Rightarrow</b>
4. Capacity and infrastructure	Chief Officer Heads of HR	Low	Low	July 2020	<b>\Rightarrow</b>
5. Directions	Chief Finance Officer Senior Service Mgr	High	Low	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
6. Assurance	Senior Service Manager/Medical Director/CSWO	High	High	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
7. Commissioning	Heads of Integration/ Head of Procurement, Housing & Property	High	Low	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
8. Whole Systems Transformation	Director of Acute Services/Heads of Integration	High	Low	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
9. Transition of Operational Management of NHS Services to Partnerships	Chief Officer/ Leadership group	High	Low	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$
10. Resilience & Business Continuity	Heads of Integration/Chief Finance Officer	High	High	July 2020	1
11. Primary Care	General Manager (primary care)	High	High	July 2020	$\Leftrightarrow$

	Delivery of Strategic Plan (Risks 1-5)							
Risk Categories Performance, Oversight & Quality Control (Risks 6-7)								
	Specific High Level Risks (Risks 8-11)							
Risk Rating Key	no change	$\Leftrightarrow$	reduced	1	increased	1		

#### 5. Conclusions

- 5.1 The strategic risk register is a live dynamic document which is subject to regular review as part of the IJB's risk management framework.
- 5.2 The 11 active risks currently recorded on the register will be closely monitored during the course of the year.

#### **Resource Implications**

There are no specific resource implications arising from this report. However it is recognised that the ability to successfully incorporate risk management policies and procedures across the IJB is reliant on the provision of specific support from both Partners in line with the requirements of the Integration scheme.

To date the IJB has been supported by Falkirk Council's Corporate Risk Coordinator. NHS Forth Valley are currently in the process of recruiting a Corporate Risk Manager which will strengthen the position going forward, particularly in light of the forthcoming transfer of operational management responsibility for all outstanding in scope Health services during 2020/21.

#### **Impact on IJB Outcomes and Priorities**

The ability to effectively respond to and manage risk is critical to the achievement of IJB outcomes and priorities.

#### **Legal & Risk Implications**

There are a number of legal and risk implications relating to:

- the potential adverse impact on achievement of the IJB's strategic plan and associated priorities if an effective risk management strategy is not embedded across the organisation
- the ability to meet the requirements of the integration scheme
- Corporate assurance that risks are being managed effectively
- potential financial, operational and reputational risks to the IJB, Falkirk Council and NHS Forth Valley.

#### Consultation

The Strategic Risk Register has been developed in consultation with the IJB Leadership Group, IJB Audit Committee, Falkirk Council and NHS Forth Valley.

#### **Equalities** Assessment

# 6. Report Author

Jillian Thomson, Chief Finance Officer

# 7. List of Background Papers

N/A

# 8. Appendices

Appendix 1: Strategic Risk Register

# Appendix 1 – Strategic Risk Register

Risk No. / Title	RISK 1: Funding and /or demographic pressures	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	<ul> <li>There is a risk that the IJB will fail to deliver its strategic objectives due to funding and/or demographic pressures. This could be the result of:</li> <li>Lack of planning for demographic change in the medium and longer term</li> <li>Insufficient funding settlements from partners</li> <li>Delegated services not being delivered within budget/failure to achieve savings targets</li> <li>Lack of clarity around budget accountability</li> <li>Failure to manage and affect change on set aside budgets/shifting the balance of care</li> <li>Lack of capacity to anticipate the landscape for changes and ability to then respond</li> <li>Limited availability of reliable information and analysis of activity and demand to inform future planning and predictive modelling</li> <li>The impact of an ageing workforce coupled with the ability to retain and recruit staff in key service areas</li> <li>Failure of the partnership to agree and implement a Recovery, Recuperation, Reablement, Rehabilitation and Progression care model</li> <li>Interdependency with decisions of Clackmannanshire and Stirling IJB re Forth Valley wide services.</li> </ul>	Rationale for Risk Rating	Impact 5 Likelihood 4 High If such a risk v financial impa The likelihood pace of chang management	Impact 5 Likelihood 2  High were to occur, it work ct and therefore the is currently assess ge in the transfer of responsibility for all will be a key focus position.	impact has been seed at 4. Largely doportion and operations outstanding in sco	ue to the limited ational pe health
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	The IJB is unable to deliver its vision to enable people in the Falkirk area to live full and positive lives within supportive and inclusive communities. This may result in vulnerable people and their carers not receiving the services they require.  Noncompliance with the requirements of the Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014.  Without appropriate planning, the IJB could incur a significant overspend. This would result in either reserves being used for purposed other than intended and/or the Partners (Council and NHS) being liable for additional funding at the year end, which may cause financial difficulties  In addition, it could require drastic cuts to budgets which could	Mitigating Controls	demand includer assessment & work model at discharge by sites (including Regular financinsks visible in Budget offers associated rist that each Partensure that the	transformation have ding going impleme planning informed and roll out of the hor prioritising care at high recruitment of a Hicial reports are produted the system.  If the system.  If the system are the system of the each Partner and the prioritis aware of the emitigation is being agreement process.	ntation of person c by a strengths bas me first approach to ome or in a homely lome First Manage luced for the IJB, so are reviewed annu- e diligence is under risk in their area all g developed.	entred ed systemic social o hospital y setting across all r). etting out financial ally and taken to ensure nd efforts made to

Risk No. / Title	RISK 1: Funding and /or demographic pressures	Risk Sco	ring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed	
	impact negatively on service users. Again, this may impact on delivery of the IJB's strategic priorities and national integration policy.			Scheme. This is currently an annual process,. however it is recognised that a longer term risk sharing arrangement is pre This will be considered as part of the review of the wider integ scheme scheduled for 2020/21.  Budgets, directions, Financial Regulations, Reserves Policy, s financial instructions.  Work to conclude set aside arrangements is ongoing and is e to be finalised during 2020/21.				
Lead Officer	Chief Finance Officer/Senior Service Manager	Assuran Review Mechan	S	Finance Reports Performance Monitoring Reports Transformation agenda Directions to partners Audit Reports				
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress				
7.0.0.0	Development of a delivery transformation plan to include the proposed Recovery, Recuperation, Reablement, Rehabilitation and Progression care model.	Nov 2019	Green	The delivery plan has recently been refreshed in light of the impact of Covid-19. A number of key actions are being accelerated, particularly in relation to shifting the balance of care.				
	Implement the Unscheduled Care Plan and Home First test of change	Dec 2019	Green	Following the successful test of change, pilot the home first apprhas been expanded within FVRH and has also been rolled out to Falkirk Community Hospital and Bo'ness Community Hospital.				
	Due diligence of budget transferring with management responsibility for all outstanding in scope operational health services.	March 2021	Amber	Subject to ongoing discussion as part of the transfer of all outstanding in scope health services.				
	Development of a longer term risk sharing agreement.	March 2021	Amber	Progress in relation to the risk sharing arrangements and review the integration scheme has been delayed due to the impact of C 19.				
	Develop an Integrated Workforce Plan	March 2021	Red	stage is on the the Partnershi resource and i	his work has been re e 2020/21 budget an p. Development of t input from Partners.	d transfer of ope he workforce pla	erational services to an will require	
	Develop a Medium Term Financial Plan	Nov 2019	Green		MTFP was presented that the MTFP will be			

Risk No. / Title	RISK 2: Governance arrangements	Risk Scoring		Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed	
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	There is a risk that the IJB fails to deliver its strategic objectives due to lack of clarity and/or agreement in respect of governance arrangements, for example:	The state of the s		Impact 4 Likelihood 3	Impact 3 Likelihood 2	No Change	July 2020	
because of y	A lack of clarity around the separate roles of the IJB, HSCP, Council, NHS Board and other partners, including Clackmannanshire and Stirling IJB.	Rationale Risk Ratin		High Impact would re transformation.	Medium strict delivery of Str	rategic Plan and the	e necessary	
	An inability to influence decision making and/or a lack of agreement around where decisions should be made/decisions been taken out with appropriate governance process.		There is agreement to phase the transfer of the Partnerships. Pending this agreed trans processes being completed, including gove risk remains high.				fer and due diligence	
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	<ul> <li>Failure in Service Delivery.</li> <li>Failure to deliver pace and impact of Strategic Plan.</li> </ul>	Self-E Strate Strate Strate Integr		HSCP Leadership Group Self-Evaluation against MSG proposals. Strategic Plan Strategic Needs Assessment Strategic Planning Group Integrated Management Structure Governance Principles				
Lead Officer	Chief Officer	Assurance Reviews Mechanis		Audit Committee MSG Improvem Committee Stru Annual Perform Risk assessmer	ent Plan – monitor cture ance Report	of progress.		
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress				
	NHS FV to review Standing Orders to ensure HSCP managers, CFO and CO have appropriate authority to manage staff and resources.	Aug 19	Completed	NHS FV presen provided assura are in place to e	eviewed their standi ted a report to the I nce that appropriat nable the Chief Off ntrol of resources.	JB on 6 Septembe e financial process	es and systems	
	Implementation of MSG Improvement Plan.	2020 Amber		MSG action plan has been approved by the IJB in September plans to repeat the self-evaluation exercise to assess progress 2020. This was delayed due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Consider the on the timing of reissuing the self-evaluation exercise is required.			progress in March c. Consideration	
	Council and NHS requested to confirm appropriate scheme of delegation to ensure HSCP staff are empowered to discharge their responsibilities.	March 2021	Amber	This will form part of the due diligence work on the transfer of operational management of NHS services. The Scottish Govern are completing national review of Standing Orders, including the Scheme of Delegation and this will inform further work. NHS FV				

Risk No. / Title	RISK 2: Governance arrangements R		Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed	
				of delegation has been updated for CO responsibilities and authorisation levels.				
	To support the implementation of the MSG Improvement Plan, a programme of collaborative leadership in practice sessions is being developed with the IJB.	Mar 2020	Green	Three externally facilitated board development sessions have place to date. The sessions are addressing how we work togo board to pick up the pace on integration and deliver the MSG Improvement Plan.				
	Review of the Integration Scheme	Nov 2020	Amber	Amber Review of the integration scheme has been of Covid-19.			due to the impact	
	Review of HSCP Leadership Group terms of reference	Sept 2020	Green	other existing gi	Group has reviewe roups under the openalised in Septembe	rational manage		
Latest Note								

Risk No. / Titlep	RISK 3: Partnerships	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	There is a risk that the IJB fails to develop effective links with communities, the third, independent and housing sectors and other partners, leading to poor relationships and failure to deliver the strategic outcomes.  Failure to respond and adopt to complex issues and challenges for example demographic change.	Rationale for Risk Rating	of service use Likelihood 3 p of integration locality mode	er and carers' lived possible because of arrangements with	f delay, for example Integrated Locality cause of limitations	in implementation Managers to lead
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	<ul> <li>Isolated, costly responses impacting service users</li> <li>collapse of service systems and pathways and</li> <li>significantly poorer individual outcomes / service user and carer experience.</li> <li>Inability to develop the model for resilient communities.</li> </ul>	Mitigating Controls	example the l Unscheduled Participation a programmes,	Housing Contribution Care Programme I Cand engagement is e.g. the commission	ey governance arra on Group, Strategic Board. threaded through a oning of In Control S round redesign of d	Planning Group, all service redesign Scotland to support

Risk No. / Titlep  Lead Officer	RISK 3: Partnerships  Heads of Integration	Risk Scorin	ler partners to shar their service offer ed external suppor and engagement s tation Plan. ommission ttee B and Community	led engagement meetings with independe of share strategic priorities and check offer with demand.  upport (see additional actions below).  ment strategy in place.					
			Mechanisms						
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress					
Actions	Completion of Community Led Support programme, commissioned from National Development Team for Inclusion (NDTi)	Complete March 2020	Begun	A series of community engagement events have been facilitated – with partners.					
	Take forward programme of work around reablement, care pathway redesign an unscheduled Care with Oxford Brooks University – this work will enable building of relationships, particularly with colleagues in acute health care sector and third sector.	March 2020	Begun.	This work is at very early stage and a year long programme ren at design stage – similar to above, there is a requirement to cor how to free up capacity for implementation.					
	Senior Leadership Team collaborative leadership development programme, which will build in linkages with leaders across all the partner sectors.	Complete March 2020	Begun	Programme of work has been commissioned and started in Octo 2019. During the Covid-19 pandemic this was modified to meet operational demands and to provide external supports and coact to managers.					
	Through establishment of appropriate locality level governance framework, development of a specific Locality Plan for each of the three new localities.	March 2020	Still to commence	This action w Manager bei		three new posts	of Integrated Locality		
	Recruit to the third vacant Locality Manager post.	Dec 2019	Green	Post appoint	ed to, start date Au	ıg.			
	Transfer of ADP Lead	March 2020	Amber				ever, service leads and ned. This is work in		
Latest Note									

Risk No. / Title	RISK 4: Capacity and infrastructure	Risk Scoring		Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed		
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x'	The IJB fails to delivery its strategic objectives due to a lack of capacity and infrastructure to deliver key roles, including effective planning, performance, risk management, information management, technology support, training and development	The state of the s		impact 3 likelihood 2 Low	impact 2 likelihood 2 Low	No Change	July 2020		
because of 'y'	etc. This could lead to failures in governance, scrutiny and performance arrangements.		Rationale for Risk Rating		Current: Named officers have now been identified for all relevant areas The implementation of support in all areas has still to be fully tested hence the rating of 3 for impact, until this has been done and feedback received from the HSCP management team.				
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	Failures in the ability of the HSCP to effectively deliver services, manage its workforce, conduct forward planning, implement transformational change, manage its risks and provide appropriate support to the IJB.  Reputational risk, service interruption, harm.	Mitigating Co	ontrols	Plans are being developed to ensure effective implementation of an integrated structure. This includes identification of the lead officers to support services. HR contacts have been identified for all HR relate areas. Work is also being progressed on other areas but needs to be concluded within a specified period of time.					
Lead Officer	Chief Officer and Heads of HR	Reviews Mechanisms		Existing infrastructure in place within partner organisations albeit this will need to be adapted to ensure IJB requirements are met.  The HSCP Leadership Group will have a list of named contacts for th identified areas of support. In addition, the team will be able to identified any gaps or issues with this arrangement, through their regular meetings					
	Action	Target Date Status		Progress					
Additional Actions	Lead officers for all relevant areas to be identified by both the NHS and the Council	30.09.19	Red			related functions ide ontacts for other rec			
	Plan developed with Lead Officers	30.09.19		List of all key contacts now developed. Testing still to be undertain how this works in practice.					
	A Leadership funding bid developed for key support roles	31.11.19	Amber	Bid and fundir	ng approved and re	ecruitment process i	s underway.		
	Recruitment to new roles of Heads of Service Integration and to issue identified around this risk in regard impact of limited capacitation.		tegrated Lo	ocality Managers	concluded and will	provide an opportu	nity to review the		

Risk No. / Title	RISK 5: Directions	Risk Scoring	ı	Current Risk	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed	
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x'	There is a risk that Directions, and therefore the Strategic Plan, are not delivered due to:	Printer Community Communit		Impact 3 Likelihood 4 High	Impact 2		July 2020	
because of 'y'	<ul> <li>Poorly drafted Directions, which do not set out a clear decision from the IJB.</li> <li>Poor processes which do not ensure that Directions are developed as a result of a collaborative approach to service redesign and transformation</li> <li>Failure of partners to engage in collaborative approaches to develop Directions for consideration by the Board</li> <li>A decision by the partners to disregard the Directions or partly implement, or not deliver within the required timeframe</li> <li>Failure to monitor implementation of the issued Directions to partners</li> <li>Failure of the IJB to agree and issue Directions.</li> </ul>	Rationale for Risk Rating		The impact is assessed as 3 (moderate). Delays in transformation projects are likely. Complaints could flow as a result; the reputation the IJB and its Partners could be negatively affected and some national and government criticism could occur.  The likelihood is assessed as 4 (likely). This is in part due to experience of instances where Directions have not been adhered addition, the Directions remain high level at this stage as work has on hold until final guidance is issued by the Scottish Government. national guidance has been outstanding for some time. In addition evident that collaborative working is at an early stage across the Partnership and could be improved.  It is hoped that both these ratings could reduce over time.  Statutory guidance was published in January 2020by the Scottish Government. It is anticipated that this will be a lever for implement of changes at a local level.				
Consequences This may result in (worst case) 'z'	The IJB is unable to drive strategy and/or transformational change and as a result the objectives of the Strategic Plan are not met.  There is duplication of work/systems/processes as a result of the IJB and Partners not collaborating effectively.  Resources are not used effectively and financial and performance improvements are not delivered.	Mitigating (	Controls	Board and Couvision for future  An action planevaluation work (MSG) review censure improve evidence based	ncil members. It is service delivery.  has been approve completed as part progress with living governance pro	ed by the IJB, flowing ort of the Ministerial ntegration. This act ocesses, and that in ade by the appropria	g from the self Strategic Group ion plan should formed and	
	People who receive services and their carers do not receive the appropriate interventions to meet their needs. In some instances this could result in people being at risk.							
Lead Officer	Chief Finance Officer/Senior Service Manager		Assurance / IJB reports and minutes Reviews Mechanisms Monthly financial reconciliation					
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress				
	Review the current system for Directions	Sept 2020	Green	Statutory Guida 2020/21 but ha and impact of 0	ance was expecte is been delayed di Covid-19. A repoi	Directions, in line wit d to be in place for f ue the departure of rt was taken to the l as now been refres	inancial year the previous CFO JB in Mach 2020	

Risk No. / Title	RISK 5: Directions	Risk Scoring		Current Risk (with controls)	Change	Date Reviewed
	Implement the action plan from flowing from the MSG work	Sept 2020		presented to the An action plan has been done	vork. Further work	
			Green	particularly the collaborative p	at a Direction is the	e result of a
Latest Notes						

Risk No. / Title	RISK 6: Assurance	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x'	There is a risk that the IJB does not receive assurance from in respect of performance and quality control. This could be the result of:	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Impact 5 Likelihood 3 High	Impact 5 Likelihood 2 High	No Change	July 2020
because of 'y'	<ul> <li>the mechanisms to provide assurance are not effective</li> <li>lack of quality control arrangements</li> <li>lack of capacity to effectively monitor performance</li> <li>Partnership risks are not escalated appropriately</li> <li>Partnerships risks are not appropriately responded to when escalated</li> <li>failure to adequately share information about service performance and quality concerns</li> <li>lack of clarity around governance, decision-making and accountability for services at a strategic level</li> <li>lack of clarity around roles and responsibilities across all partners for in-scope IJB functions and services at an operational level</li> </ul>	Rationale for Risk Rating	If such a risk we people who use a negative repute The likelihood is of reporting arrathere are additional arrathere are additional risks.	ere to occur, it wou e services, carers a stational impact and s currently set at 3. angements in place ional actions propo gements that would	and employees. This is in part bece, which help to mitioned that could furth	s would also have act must be 5. cause of the range gate the risks. her improve
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	Failure to receive and effectively scrutinise performance could result in vulnerable people and their carers not receiving the services they require. This could result in risks to them and financial liabilities and reputational risks for the HSCP.  People who receive services and their carers do not receive the appropriate interventions to meet their needs.  Key priorities of the IJB, as outlined in the Strategic Plan, would not be met.  There is duplication of reporting and assurance work/ systems/ processes as a result of the IJB and partners not collaborating effectively. This could result in the appropriate governance body not obtaining timely information.	Mitigating Controls	provided, repor NHS Forth Vall as part of the p effectively.  The CCG Compassurance and The CCG Comprinciples outling the requirement of the requi	lanning and deliver	s provides assurand noil that clinical and y of services, is be tive focus to drive in the for ensuring that framework are delived are Governance Fidies (Joint Working	ce to the Board, d care governance, ing delivered  mprovement, seek the five key vered: framework meets ) (Scotland) Act

Risk No. / Title	RISK 6: Assurance	Risk Scoring	Current Risk Target Risk Change Date Reviewed (with controls)
	The reputation of the IJB and its partners could be negatively affected and some national media and government criticism could occur.		The regular IJB Performance Monitoring Reports ensure the Board fulfils its ongoing responsibility to ensure effective monitoring and reporting on the delivery of services and performance against relevant targets and measures set out in the Strategic Plan.  The HSCP Annual Performance Report provides a mechanism to report performance against the Strategic Plan. This ensures that performance
			is open and accountable and sets out an assessment of performance in carrying out the integration functions.  The Chief Social Work Officer (CSWO) provides professional
			governance, leadership and accountability for the delivery of Social Work and social care services whether directly provided or delivered by the private or voluntary sector on behalf of the Local Authority.
			The role assists the Council and IJB to understand the responsibilities and the complexities involved in the delivery of Social Work services. The CSWO has key responsibility for performance management and the identification and management of corporate risk, as it relates to the delivery of Social Work services.
			The CSWO is required to ensure that all social services workers meet the requirements of the Scottish Social Services Council (SSSC) Codes of Practice.
			CSWO's are required to submit an annual report in accordance with Scottish Government guidance, providing an overview of how their statutory responsibilities have been fulfilled during the reporting year. It is not intended to provide a full report of the performance and activity of the entire Social Work function, as throughout the year there are reports to the IJB for this purpose.
			The Ministerial Steering Group (MSG) self evaluation exercise will monitor progress in making improvements on governance, decision making and accountability. The Scottish Government is expected to receive regular progress reports against the self-evaluation.
Lead Officer	Medical Director/CSWO/Senior Service Manager	Assurance / Reviews Mechanisms	IJB minutes and reports Clinical Care Governance Committee minutes and reports National IJB Clinical and Care Governance Framework Falkirk HSCP Clinical and Care Governance Framework IJB Performance reports and Annual Performance reports Chief Social Work Officer Annual report Audit Committee minutes and reports Internal audit annual work plan (review of governance arrangements) Annual Governance Statement MSG Self Evaluation.

Risk No. / Title	RISK 6: Assurance	Risk Scoring		Current Risk (with controls) (after actions) Change Date Reviewed
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress
	Review CCG Framework	Complete	Green	Revised Terms of Reference were considered by the Committee at its meeting on 20 June 2019. The Committee agreed that they be further revised to include information submitted to the NHS Forth Valley Clinical Governance Committee. A revised document was presented to the CCGC on 22 August 2019.
				The revised Terms of Reference were presented to the IJB and agreed on 6 September 2019.
	Develop CCG Committee workplan for 2020/21	Complete	June 2020	The draft work plan for 2020 was approved by the CCGC at its meeting on 26 June 2020.
	Continue to develop the content of the IJB Performance Monitoring Report's	Ongoing		The Performance Monitoring Report continues to be developed. This includes work ongoing to develop local indicators aligned to the new Strategic Plan priorities.
	Review the IJB Performance Management Framework agreed by the IJB in 2016 (new action)	Dec 2020		Work is ongoing to review the framework through the Performance and Measurement Group. This work will be done in conjunction with the Internal Audit Action Plan Performance Management and Reporting Report No. FK06-19. This work is included in the Internal Audit Progress Report
	Publish the HSCP Annual Performance Report – 2019 - 20	Sept 2020	Green	Due to the impact of Covid-19, and in line with Coronavirus (Scotland) Act, publication has been delayed. An update was presented to the Board at its meeting in June 2020.
	Internal Audit Work Plan 020/21	March 2021	Green	Work plan for 2020/21 will be presented and agreed by the Audit Committee at the next meeting scheduled for 25 Sept 2020.
Latest Note		1		

Risk No. / Title	RISK 7: Commissioning	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	There is a risk that the IJB fails to commission quality services from both statutory partners and the independent sector. This could be the result of:  Poor oversight arrangements Lack of quality control arrangements Lack of capacity to effectively monitor performance Failure to adequately share information	Rationale for Risk Rating		Impact 4 Likelihood 1 Low place, the likelihood cossible chance of occ		July 2020 is considered

Risk No. / Title	RISK 7: Commissioning	Risk Scorin	ng	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed	
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	<ul> <li>Serious harm to service users.</li> <li>Significant Case Reviews / Fatal Accident Enquiries / Court / Prosecution or other external legal interventions.</li> <li>Potential compensation claims.</li> <li>External criticism / intervention (e.g. Care Inspectorate). Reputational damage to the IJB and Partners</li> <li>Inappropriate use of public funds</li> </ul>	Mitigating	g Controls	<ul> <li>Care Inspectorate review and monitoring</li> <li>Provider monitoring meetings/formal contract monitorin</li> <li>Provider engagement and input to contract developme focus on recruitment, retention and training of staff</li> <li>Other Local Authority and Scotland Excel provider mor out of area placements</li> <li>Service User case reviews by Adult Services</li> <li>Market Facilitation Plan</li> <li>Procurement regulations and Financial policies/guidan</li> </ul>			opment, with ff r monitoring for	
Lead Officer	Heads of Integration  Head of Procurement & Housing Property	Assuranc Reviews	e / Mechanisms	<ul> <li>Care Inspectorate review, monitoring and reporting system</li> <li>Provider monitoring and reporting by Contracts &amp; Commissioning Officers</li> <li>Annual Procurement Report to the Scottish Government and quarterly reporting to the Council's Procurement Board.</li> <li>Regular reporting to the clinical and Care Governance Committee</li> <li>SWAS statutory reviews</li> </ul>				
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress				
	Annual contract and performance review for Home Support Service contract. (c£27m per year spend)	April 2020	Draft to be issued March 2020	completed with the top ranked providers. Contract review meeting covered the following areas;  • Staffing /Complaints and Incidents  • Finance – invoicing and payment issues, financial monitorie. Creditsafe, Annual Accounts, financial viability  • Governance – local and national governance  • Care Manager / Provider / Service User Feedback  • Living Wage and Fair Working Practices				
	Annual report on 'quality and compliance across all in area providers of adult residential placements. (c£13m per year spend)	April 2020	Draft to be Issued March 2020					
	Annual report on in areas NCHC residential units produced to show compliance and identified risk rating of all providers. (c £20m per year spend)	May 2020	6 monthly report issued January 2020	The biannual report issued in Jan 2020 provided a detailed of the performance for each of the 21 older people resider nursing care homes in the Falkirk Council area, including authority homes and 16 independent sector homes.  Performance across the Care Homes is measured with recare Inspectorate grades/reports, analysis from contract rewith reference to Local Authority Interventions (i.e. Morato Large Scale investigations).			sidential and ing 5 local hreference to act monitoring and	

Risk No. / Title	RISK 7: Commissioning	Risk Scoring		Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
	Programme of case reviews led by in house Home Care section, focused on care packages commissioned from independent sector.	Continuo us program	In progress	enhanced award weak Care Inspec to support ongoin Health and the Pr best possible outdoor.	e 7 independent sector for quality. This is on ctorate grades. There g work with the Care le coviders to deliver impones for supported pomes for supported pomes for grades.	e Care Home e are clear acti nspectorate, A rovements and eople.	currently with on plans in place adults Services, I to ensure the
	Prepare a Market Facilitation Plan 2020 – 2023	me March 2021	In progress	The Board agreed in April 2020 to extend the current Market Facilitatic Plan pending work to refresh the plan. The work to date has included engagement sessions with the Strategic Planning Group, Community Care and Health Forum and events with the Private, Voluntary and Third sectors. This work has been delayed due to the pandemic.			
Latest Note							·

Risk No. / Title	RISK 8: Whole Systems Transformation	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	<ul> <li>There is a risk that the IJB does not deliver transformational change across the whole health and social care system. This could be the result of:</li> <li>Lack of clarity around roles and responsibilities across all Partners</li> <li>Lack of influence on decision making in key areas</li> <li>Lack of lived experience informing the redesign work</li> <li>Poor commissioning practice/unclear Directions</li> <li>Inability to deliver a whole systems way of working with transformation happening in silos and not creating a cohesive system</li> <li>Inability to shift resources</li> <li>Inability to manage demand pressures</li> <li>Lack of capacity, information and resources to deliver the transformational change programme</li> <li>Lack of staff engagement, including the Third and Independent sectors</li> <li>Failure to deliver national government policy of shift to</li> </ul>	Rationale for Risk Rating	across other are individual patier  Due to early sta up work across community base concerning.  To date various impact on the w	Impact 3 Likelihood 1 Low upon whole system effects of activity e.g. electrits and service users.  Impact 3 Likelihood 1 Low upon whole system effects of activity e.g. electrits and service users.  Impact 3 Likelihood 1 Low upon whole system effects of activity e.g. electrits and service users.  Impact 3 Likelihood 1 Low upon whole system television effects of work have been been effects of work have been	ive care and ad ntegration, and estreams for both of the risk occur een identified the progressing und	the need to join the acute and ring remains at would have an ler the "Home

Risk No. / Title	RISK 8: Whole Systems Transformation	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls)	Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed
	community based provision.					
Consequences This may result in (worst case) 'z'	<ul> <li>Poor patient/service user flow through the system.</li> <li>Adverse impact on individual patient / service users outcomes whose experience of care is impacted through breakdown in whole system flow, and poor experience of care.</li> <li>Poor performance leading to bottlenecks within the system, for example missing SG targets; delays in discharge; waits for home care; waits for care home and waits for services provided by the third sector.</li> <li>Reduced financial control through significant budgetary overspends on institutional care (hospital and care homes); resources not being shifted to community based services; silo working leading to budgets not losing identity</li> </ul>	Mitigating Controls	NHS FV Unschunds FV Unschunds FV Unschunds Forthrig Oxford Brooks I Further develop (Summerford and Review of modern and Care Mana Locality Team of	Inscheduled Care Program eduled Care Program eduled Care Operation to Unscheduled Care Institute of Public Care Institute of Public Care Institute of bed based in the Community Hospitels of Home Care programent practice and levelopment including Inities (supported by I	ime Board chal Group c Programme e work progran atermediate car cals) vision services processes g work in relatio	mme. re s and Assessment on to building
Lead Officer	Heads of Integration Director of Acute Services	Assurance / Reviews Mechanisms	approach support and proper support and support su	pocess in place for wor porting e.g. Delayed m shment of workstrear ing Assessment and Ceduled Care First care review unity Led Support er Communities ports unity/intermediate ca evelopment of non-ac ons. For example: Fa	rking across who bischarge Dassens to support to Care Managen re based alternute pathways fulls services, Streams align ef	nole system shboard the delivery plan nent natives to admission for long term AS pathways and

Risk No. / Title	RISK 8: Whole Systems Transformation	Risk Scorin	ng	Current Risk (with controls) Target Risk (after actions) Change Date Reviewed			
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress			
	Attend HSCP forums to update on progress and agree wider system processes to address risk	March 2020	Ongoing	Local delivery teams working well together with several examples of good practice and integration			
	Data based, benchmarked whole system redesign work programme to be undertaken with support from Oxford Brooks University IPC – Professor John Bolton	Complete Mar 2020	Green	Significant progress on reduction in numbers of people delayed while awaiting package of care following review of home care. Opportunity available to increase numbers of intermediate care beds available at Summerford.			
				Approved by IJB in June 2019			
	Clarify governance framework to ensure IJB and HSCP have appropriate control and influence over planning around unscheduled care pathways, with due cognisance taken of the key contribution of the Integrated Locality Teams.	Complete by 31 Aug 2019	Red	Work is still underway in this area.			
	Establish Locality Leadership Teams to drive forward the resilient communities workstreams.			2 of the 3 Locality Manager posts have been filled. Recruitment to the third post is complete and an appointment subject to references made Locality Managers have taken the lead role in the Community Led Support development, working in partnership with NDTI.  Twenty Six staff have begun a collaborative leadership programme to			
	Implement the Falkirk Unscheduled Care Plan	Dec 2020	Green	support development of integrated locality teams.  The Board approved the UC plan at its meeting on 6 December 2019. Work is ongoing to implement the plan.  Work is underway in line with national requirements to set up the NHS FV Rebuild and Reset Unscheduled Care Project.			
	Develop a whole system Integrated Discharge Service	Feb 2020	Green	Integrated Discharge Service comprising acute and community discharge coordinators, social care colleagues from both FV HSCPs (covering all three local authorities), Home First colleagues, plus Fast Track and Home First at the Front Door. A core hub will be establish			
				at FVRH that will work both on-site and in virtual ways. The fundamental aim will be to operate a 'pull system' and follow Home Firs principles.			
Latest Note	Work continues between the partners to deliver improvement	ents across t	the whole sy	ystem			

Risk No. / Title	RISK 9: Transition of Operational Management of NHS Services to Partnerships	Risk Scoring	9		Target Risk (after actions)	Change	Date Reviewed	
Risk Description There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	There is the risk of:  Lack of continuity of service provision  Changes in management and oversight impacting negatively on quality of service delivery and/or the ability to transform services  Limited ability to affect whole system transformational change and shift the balance of care	Rationale fo Risk Rating						
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	Failures in the ability of the HSCP to effectively deliver services, manage its workforce, conduct forward planning, implement transformational change, manage its risks and provide appropriate support to the IJB.  Noncompliance with the requirements of the Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014.	Mitigating		Managers are in place to assist with the transition, but this is time limited.  Work is underway to finalise the management structure that will supp the Heads of Integration and Locality Managers. This is being supported by HR colleagues in NHS FV and Falkirk Council.  Due diligence process to be completed.				
Lead Officer Lead Group (if relevant)	Chief Officer  HSCP Leadership Group	Assurance / Reviews Mechanisms		There will be a Senior Manager in place for the period of the shadow term to assist with the transition to the Head of Integration and Locality Managers. This is to provide a consistency in the provision of health services and ensure all staff are kept updated on the changes.				
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress				
	Recruitment to Head of Integration x 2 (Health and Social Care)	Complete	Green	Heads of Integr	ation recruited and	d in post since July 2	2019.	
	Recruitment to the Locality Manager posts x 2	Complete	Green			s have been recruite ted in further recruiti		
	Associate Director of Nursing has been confirmed as the Senior Manager for the Shadow Period for Health services, including the Community Hospital.	03.06.19	Green	Ellen Hudson in post into Shadow period from 3 <sup>rd</sup> June 2019			e 2019	
	Due diligence process needs to be concluded and reported to the IJB. This will enable a date to be agreed for the transfer of health services to the HSCP.	March 2021	Red	Staff lists and h enable review.	Staff lists and high level budget information provided 04/12 enable review.		04/12/2019 to	
	Work needs to commence on a development / induction and OD Plan to support the work from Shadow into the HSCP fully	30.09.19	Red	Work has comn	nenced with Ellen	Hudson with the He	eads of Integration.	
Latest Note	AHPs and District Nurses transferred on 3 <sup>rd</sup> February. Wo	rk ongoing to	o welcome a	and work with st	aff.			

Risk No. / Title	RISK 10: Resilience & Business Continuity	Risk Scoring		Target Risk	Change	Date Reviewed			
Dick Description	Resilience and Business Continuity			(after actions) Impact 4					
Risk Description	Resilience and Dusiliess Continuity	Tener	Impact 4 Likelihood 4	Impact 4 Likelihood 2	No change	July 2020			
There is a risk of 'x'	If resilience arrangements are not effective, it could result in	2	High	Medium	No change	July 2020			
because of 'y'	e.g.	Span Company		d Business Conti	ouity				
	loss of people (due to eg pandemic flu);	D.C I. C	ixesilience and	Dusiness Conti	iuity				
	loss of assets including ICT / premises, due to e.g. severe	Rationale for Risk Rating	Whilst the NHS	and Council have	well developed pro	cedures a Local /			
	weather or fire;   lack of supplies (due to e.g. supplier	KISK Katiliy	Regional Resili	ence Partnership,	current plans / resp	onse procedures			
	issues in the supply chain – including availability of private		may not be fit f	or purpose for loca	ılities / integrated stı	ructures.			
	care homes, medicines, and clinical consumables;		There is a poor	l for more elerity o	round e.g. HSCP ov	unorobin rolog			
	lack of reliable information due to e.g. systems interruption.				eed to support and n				
				hain's resilience.	od to oupport and n	normor accurance			
	Effective Resilience and Business Continuity Management (BCM) protects services, reputation, finances and people, and								
	contributes to compliance with the Civil Contingencies Act		Councils and H	lealth Board are bo	oth classed as 'Cate	gory 1' responder			
	(CCA), 2004.				Partnership are not				
					n, they do have respondent				
	Wider Brexit risks		TISKS TOT THATTAG	risks for managing these risks for integrated functions.					
	Disruption to services or increased costs as a result of		With respect to	Brexit, the impact	has been set as 4 (	major) due to the			
	workforce and supply chain challenges		ongoing level o	f uncertainty The	e impact could be ve	ery significant for			
	increased costs may hamper transformation and financial efficiencies				od or medicines cou				
	economic risks (such as a financial downturn or inflation)				e peopleThe likeliho				
	may impact on funding and costs of service delivery				ertainty in the proce has also been asses				
	Political impact of reduced supplies on vulnerable adults and families.		uncertainty reg	arding the duration	of the pandemic ar	nd the associated			
	Health and well-being impact of reduced supplies and				ssessed as 4 given				
	available workforce on vulnerable adults and families.		approach winte		wn and potential im	pact as we			
	Effective planning and mitigation is challenging due to the		approach winte	1.					
	ongoing level of uncertainty.								
	Households may struggle with an increase in the cost of								
	living and this could lead to more people falling into								
	poverty, resulting in an increased demand for support and services.								
	SOLVIOUS.								
	Covid-19 pandemic risks								
	Significant disruption to services (due to staff absence)								
	as a result of illness, shielding or self-isolation).								
	Potential increased demand resulting in services being overwhelmed								
	Significant additional costs								
	Long term impact on the wider economy and future								
	funding settlements								
	Unintended consequences arising from the initial								
	suspension of certain health and social care services.								

Risk No. / Title	RISK 10: Resilience & Business Continuity	Risk Scoring	g	Current Risk (with controls) Target Risk (after actions) Change Date Reviewed			
	Impact on the health and wellbeing of staff						
Consequences  This may result in (worst case) 'z'	Limited access to essential supplies e.g. medicine and an available workforce result in increased risks to vulnerable people and families who are dependent of services.  Using inexperienced staff to maintain delivery of core services could be less efficient, reduce quality of service, and increase complaints and non-compliance with CCA legislation.  Funding reductions lead to budget and service cuts for vulnerable services users and to poorer performance. This leads to the IJB not delivering its strategic objectives and priorities as outlined in the Strategic Plan.	Mitigating		Resilience The Council, NHS, and suppliers have resilience strategies and frameworks.  This includes a framework of: - policies, plans, procedures, and training to support planning; - vulnerable persons databases; and - on-call rotas to help in the response.  These arrangements are integrated with: - Local / Regional / National Resilience planning; - Procurement / Supply Chain monitoring.  In terms of Covid-19 - Local mobilisation plan & associated financial returns.			
Lead Officer	Heads of Integration / Chief Finance Officer	Assurance / Reviews Mechanisms		<ul> <li>Exercises and debriefs to test resilience plans and learn lessons;</li> <li>Local / Regional Resilience Partnerships;</li> <li>Procurement / Supply Chain monitoring; and</li> <li>Working with Scottish Government to assess / mitigate Brexit and Covid -19 risks.</li> <li>National peer review of Covid-19 local mobilisation plan financial estimates</li> <li>Additional funding from the Scottish Government to support Covid-19 related costs</li> </ul>			
Additional Actions	Action  Clarify ownership and leads for resilience in HSCP – including testing.	Target Date Dec 2020	Status Ongoing	Progress  Heads of Integration have coordinated the refresh of business continuity plans for all partnership activities. This will link with risk/resilience mangers and planners in both Falkirk Council and NHS Forth Valley. Recruitment to Risk Manager post in NHS FV is underway.			
	Identify and prioritise critical functions across integrated functions - this includes a refresh of the pandemic flu priorities.	Dec 2020	Ongoing	As above			
	Review and integrate partners' Resilience Planning Frameworks– including joined up plans / response procedures for Localities – to ensure a fit for purpose model to support integrated structures	Dec 2020 Ongoing		The HSCP has completed two debriefs on the HSCP response to the covide-19 pandemic. Further work is ongoing to develop improvement plans.  The Business Management Coordinator post has been appointed to, starting in September 2020, who will take forward the work to review Resilience Planning Frameworks.			
	Review supply chains and put in place relevant back ups / monitoring - including mapping of suppliers and back up	Dec 2020	Ongoing	Largely led by procurement teams in both partner organisations.			

Risk No. / Title	RISK 10: Resilience & Business Continuity	Risk Scoring		Current Risk (with controls)		Change	Date Reviewed	
	sources of clinical consumables for Council, NHS, and Private Care Homes							
	Review Care Home Providers Business Continuity Plans – including (proportionate) deep dive review of plans - and follow up with guidance, exercises, and monitoring as necessary	ASAP	Ongoing	on the care home sector. Establishment of CHART team contribute the assurance processes, reporting to the FV Care Home Assurance Group.				
	Link with NHS colleagues to mitigate any impact on unscheduled care flow between community and hospital	Dec 2020	Ongoing Work has started with NHS FV and Clackmannanshire and Stirling HSCP to complete a Capacity Planning exercise to determine bed based requirements across the system.  Work is underway in line with national requirements to set up NHS F Rebuild and Reset Unscheduled Care Project.  Recruitment to Risk Manager post in NHS FV is underway		to determine bed  nts to set up NHS FV			
Latest Note	Brexit planning and the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic has id the supply chain. The actions above will help to take forward the In addition, this review has reinforced the need for input from both Register and the IJB (Strategic) Risk Register.	ese improveme	nts (timesca	ales will need to b	e agreed with the l	_eadership Tea	m).	

Risk No. / Title	RISK 11: Primary Care	Risk Scoring	Current Risk (with controls) Target Risk Change Date Reviewed
Risk Description  There is a risk of 'x' because of 'y'	There is a risk that general practice will not be able to sustain, at scale, the delivery of general medical services to the population of Falkirk because of an inability of HSCP and NHS Board to meet obligations to implement the new GMS contract.		Impact 4 Impact 3 Likelihood 5 Likelihood 4 No change July 2020 High High The sole limiting factor in our ability to fully deliver the new GMS
because of y	This includes delivery of the Primary Care Improvement Plan (PCIP), improved and sustainable infrastructure, a shift in workload from GPs to a wider multi-disciplinary team and recruitment and retention of GPs	Rationale for Risk Rating	contract/PCIP is funding. The indicative allocation provided by the Scottish Government is insufficient to meet the commitments of the contract. This has been consistently raised with the Scottish Government from the outset.
Consequences This may result in (worst case) 'z'	Service sustainability will be affected with reduction and/or loss in general practice service delivery at scale across Falkirk and FV GP Practices     The HSCP will not be able to implement in full the PCIP resulting in serious reputational damage with adverse publicity     Patient experience will be poor     Staff experience will be less positive which may impact on our ability to recruit and/or retain primary care staff     Complaints will increase relating to timely and/or appropriate care	Mitigating Controls	<ul> <li>Primary Care Improvement Plan developed in line with MoU, reviewed and implemented through a truly collaboratively approach between GP subcommittee, HSCP partnerships and NHS board</li> <li>Primary Care Programme Board (PB) to provide governance regarding PCIP implementation and monitoring</li> <li>Develop and agree SDM to support annual priorities and use 'results' to chart progress, ensure value and realise benefits</li> <li>Proactively recruit the multidisciplinary workforce required to build GP and MDT capacity and capability</li> <li>Manage risks around workforce capability through training pipelines and "grow our own" workforce approach</li> <li>Monitor and proactively review enabling activities – e.g. premises, IT and PCIP models of care evaluation</li> <li>Promote NHS FV as an employer of choice – e.g. investors in people, i-matter, healthy working lives</li> </ul>

Risk No. / Title	RISK 11: Primary Care	Risk Scoring	l	Current Risk (with controls) (after actions) Change Date Reviewed
		Assurance / Reviews Mechanisms		<ul> <li>Investment in quality clusters and leads to ensure GPs and multidisciplinary teams (MDT) are informed and assuring quality primary/community care approaches.</li> <li>Develop and test business continuity plans</li> <li>Secure additional funding from the Scottish Government.</li> </ul>
Lead Officer	GENERAL MANAGER – Primary Care, Mental Health and Prisons			Primary Care Programme Board PCIP Implementation Group PCIP Infrastructure Group PCIP Governance structure GP Sub Committee NHS FV Senior Leadership Team HSCP Leadership Group GMS performance review group
Additional Actions	Action	Target Date	Status	Progress
	Submission of PCIP iteration 3 to the Scottish Government	23 June 2020	Amber	Iteration 3 submitted on time with a balanced financial plan for 2020-21, however confirmation of additional funding required for year 4 remains outstanding.
	Business Case to Scottish Government for additional resources to enable delivery of PCIP	Sept 2020	Red	Business case submitted to Scottish Government to evidence and justify the case for additional funding was rejected. Follow up meeting delayed due to Covid-19.
	Primary care premises review	March 2020	Red	Primary Care Premises review was completed a year ago with capital funding agreed for the work to be completed in 2019-20. However no works have started as yet.  A number of GP Practices are operating out of inadequate premises that a not fit for purpose, with Covid-19 increasing risk due to ongoing distancing requirements.
	Kersiebank Medical Practice returned to independent contractor status on 1 <sup>st</sup> May 2020.     3 vacancies are currently being advertised across the 25 independent Practices in Falkirk     Various GP leadership roles are currently vacant including the cancer lead role and Falkirk town locality lead role.	May 2020	Amber	There are ongoing GP recruitment and retention issues within the IJB integrated structure and within independent GP practices.
Latest Note				