Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00515 (Version 1)

Appendix 6

| SECTION ONE: ESSE | NTIAL INFORMATION | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Service & Division: | | Lead Officer Name: | Lesley Malkin |
| | Invest Falkirk | Team: | Assets |
| | | Tel: | 07969 912701 |
| | | Email: | lesley.malkin@falkirk.gov.uk |
| Proposal: | To acquire a site for the Replacement Town Hall project | Reference No: | 00515 |

| What is the Proposal? | Budget & Other Financial Decision | Policy (New or Change) | HR Policy & Practice | Change to Service Delivery / Service Design | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| | Yes | No | No | Yes | |
| Who does the Proposal affect? | Service Users | Members of the Public | Employees | Job Applicants | |
| | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | |
| Other, please specify: | | | | | |

| Identify the m | nain aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update): |
|----------------|---|
| 11/05/2023 | EPIAs 00334 and 00364 highlighted the closure of Falkirk Town Hall and the requirement to undertake a site search for a new facility. This proposal seeks to now secure a site on the High St, to assemble and demolish that site, making it ready for construction of a replacement Falkirk Town Hall, a new Library, Studios, a Central Hub (including Registration Services) and democratic offices. |
| 11/05/2023 | The project aims to assist regeneration of Falkirk Town Centre and the High St by replacing obsolete retail space with new facilities |
| 11/05/2023 | The project seeks to develop, as part of a later phase, a state of the art and purpose built facility that will be accessible to all and allow the public and service users to "join up" town centre activities/journeys |
| 11/05/2023 | The project, when completed , will allow staff to work in flexible and modern facilities. |
| | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 1 of 11

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| For budget changes ONLY please include infor | Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Current spend on this service (£'0000s) | Total: | FTH - £412K 22/23 Library/Hub - TBC | |
| Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s) | Per Annum: | FTH - £406K 22/23 Lib/Hub - no change | |
| Increase to this service budget (£'000s) | Per Annum: | Replacement FTH, Lib/Hub & Project Costs to be confirmed as part of OBC production | |
| If this is a change to a charge or | Current Annual Income Total: | Will be reviewed as part of OBC production | |
| concession please complete. | Expected Annual Income Total: | Will be reviewed as part of OBC production | |
| If this is a budget decision, when will the | Start Date: | 01/04/2023 | |
| saving be achieved? | End Date (if any): | | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 2 of 11

| SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE | Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include |
|-------------------------|---|
| | demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.) |
| | |

A - Quantitative Evidence This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

B - Qualitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Stakeholders at FTH have previously outlined the drawbacks of the current facility for the public, users, staff and performers ie the facility was at the end of its useful life. The new facility will be designed to be inclusive and comply with the Equality Acts, and will seek to ensure no one is disadvantaged and that the facility is accessible for all.

In terms of location, Stakeholders also advised that the former site being on the edge of the town centre was less accessible, hence why a site on the High St has been selected, which is within a few minutes of walking/cycling and public transport interfaces. There is also a car park adjacent to the site.

| Best Judgement: | |
|--|---|
| Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence? | Yes |
| Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on? | Locational Issues - Project Design Team, external architects etc based on a site appraisal exercise Facility Components - Projects Design Team, Theatre Consultants etc based on a review of requirements, but this will be further evolved as the design for the new facility is undertaken. It will also include a Stakeholder Engagement Session with Accessibility Panel Members on the design characteristics as part of the Pre Planning |
| | application discussions and to inform detailed design considerations |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 3 of 11

| What gaps in data / information were identified? | Detailed Session on Hub/Library Users to be undertaken as part of next stage. The Council is currently reviewing Service delivery models and this will need to be factored in at the next design stage to ensure we are not disadvantaging folks from any protected groups. |
|--|---|
| Is further research necessary? | Yes |
| If NO, please state why. | Further research will be undertaken as the design is evolved . |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 4 of 11

| SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen | t with individua | s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status? | No | | | |
| If YES, please state who was engagement with. | | | | |
| If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why. | At this phase of the project we are looking to secure a site, and further engagement will be undertaken as we review service provision and carry out detailed design. | | | |
| How was the engagement carried out? | | What were the results from the engagement? Please list | | |
| Focus Group | No | | | |
| Survey | No | | | |
| Display / Exhibitions | No | | | |
| User Panels | No | | | |
| Public Event | No | | | |
| Other: please specify | | | | |
| Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed a result of the engagement? | / changed as | No | | |
| Have the results of the engagement been fed bac consultees? | ck to the | No | | |
| Is further engagement recommended? | | Yes | | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 5 of 11

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

| Protected Characteristic | Neutral Impact | Positive Impact | Negative Impact | Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic. |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Age | | ✓ | | Having a purpose built facility, with state of the art equipment (CPT, Hearing Loops, etc) in a central location is considered to have a positive impact |
| Disability | | ✓ | | Having a purpose built facility, with state of the art equipment (CPT, Hearing Loops, etc) in a central location is considered to have a positive impact |
| Sex | | ✓ | | Having a purpose built facility, with state of the art equipment (Unisex WC, etc) |
| Ethnicity | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Religion / Belief / non-Belief | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Sexual Orientation | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Transgender | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Pregnancy / Maternity | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Marriage / Civil Partnership | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Poverty | ✓ | | | The building will be in a location that is accessible for all on good transport routes |
| Other, health, community justice, carers etc. | ✓ | | | The building will be designed for all |
| Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change) | | | | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 6 of 11

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

| | Evidence of Due Regard |
|---|---|
| Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct): | N/A |
| Advance Equality of Opportunity: | The building will be designed with the needs of all users considered. |
| Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice): | The building will be designed with the needs of all users considered. |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 7 of 11

| SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS | | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project? | | Describe the interest / affect. | | | |
| Business | Yes | Business will use the facilities | | | |
| Councils | Yes | Council will use the facility for democratic purposes | | | |
| Fire Yes NHS Yes Integration Joint Board Yes | | Schools and other Community Learning Groups will use the Library | | | |
| | | Discussions are ongoing as to whether the Fire Service will use the facility | | | |
| | | Discussions are ongoing as to whether the NHS will use the facility | | | |
| | | The IJB are likely to use the various Studio Spaces | | | |
| Police | Yes | Discussions are ongoing as to whether the Police Service will use the facility | | | |
| Third Sector | Yes | Discussions are ongoing as to whether the 3rd Sector will use the facility | | | |
| Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact. | | | | | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 8 of 11

| SE | | IN S | ΙW | EN: | ACT | ION | PLA | NNINO | c |
|----|--|------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|---|
|----|--|------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|---|

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

| Identified Impact | To Who | Action(s) | Lead Officer | Evaluation and Review Date | Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

No Mitigating Actions

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.

The facility has yet to be designed and it is intended that there will be stakeholder groups to assist in the evolution and development of the design, and later in terms of operation. No negative impacts identified at this stage.

| | T |
|--|----|
| Are actions being reported to Members? | NO |
| If yes when and how? | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 9 of 11

| SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME | | | | | | |
|--|-----|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons. | | | | | | |
| No major change required | Yes | The new facility w services delivered | ill enhance the Council's offer in terms of the range of in a single facility | | | |
| The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups | No | | | | | |
| Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups | No | | | | | |
| Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation | No | | | | | |
| SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF | | | | | | |
| Lead Officer: | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | 11/05/2023 | | | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 10 of 11

| SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|--------|--|
| OVERALL AS | SSESSMENT O | PF EPIA: Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties? | | | | Yes | |
| ASSESSMEN | T FINDINGS | | | | ues have been considered by the Project Design Team and informa ried out by specialist external consultants. Facility Components are | • | |
| If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA | | | lence in support of the | outline review of requirements undertaken by the Design Team and informed by Theatre Consultants, but this will be further evolved as the design for the new facility is undertaken. It will also include a Stakeholder Engagement Session with Accessibility Panel Members on the design | | | |
| If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA | | | ons needed to improve | characteristics as part of the Pre Planning application discussions and to inform detailed design considerations. | | | |
| Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made? | | | inue with the proposal / | Yes / No | If YES, please describe: | | |
| LEVEL OF IN | IPACT: The El | PIA Task G | roup has agreed the follow | ving level of im | pact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the | e EPIA | |
| LEVEL | | COMME | NTS | | | | |
| HIGH | Yes / No | | | | | | |
| MEDIUM | Yes / No | | | | | | |
| LOW | Yes | No negative impacts identified at this stage. | | | | | |
| SECTION ELI | EVEN: CHIEF C | FFICER SI | GN OFF | | | | |
| Director / H | ead of Service | e: | | | | | |

Printed: 15/05/2023 13:36 Page: 11 of 11

Date:

Signature: